

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE 香港民意研究所 Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

2023年8月24日 新聞公報

香港民研發放社會及自由指標

特別宣佈

香港民意研究所(香港民研)早前已經啟動「香港民研數據查冊平台」免費下載部分,今日再啟動付費部分,各界人士可以在平台上查閱及選購民研今年五月至七月期間進行的定期調查結果,部分免費,部分收費,部分尚未推出市場。收費項目暫時只有六月份市民身份認同感的調查數字,免費項目則包括所有在五月至七月期間經已公開發表的調查數字,而之前的示範數字已經移除。我們會在平台上陸續增加更多調查項目及加長覆蓋年份,供查冊人士選購。香港民研會要求所有查冊人士,承諾不會把所獲資料,不論收費或免費,用作非法用途,亦不會轉送或轉售給任何人士。查詢電郵:enquiry@pori.hk。

公報簡要

香港民研於八月初由真實訪問員以隨機抽樣電話訪問方式,成功訪問了1,005名香港居民。

最新調查顯示,以 0 至 10 分評價,市民對五項核心社會指標的評分由高至低分別是「自由」、「安定」、「法治」、「繁榮」和「民主」,得分分別為 5.74、5.67、5.58、5.42 和 4.92 分,全部與三個月前相比沒有顯著分別。至於七項非核心指標中,獲最高分的為「社會福利」和「文明」,其次為「廉潔」、「效率」和「治安」,而「平等」和「公平」的得分則相對較低。相比半年前,除了「效率」以外的指標全部錄得顯著跌幅。

自由次指標方面,獲最高分的首兩項依次為「出入境自由」和「信仰自由」,其次為「學術研究自由」、「文藝創作自由」、「罷工自由」和「言論自由」,再次之為「結社自由」、「出版自由」和「新聞自由」,而「遊行示威自由」的得分則明顯較低,只有 3.75 分。相比半年前,除了「出入境自由」、「信仰自由」和「文藝創作自由」以外的指標全部錄得顯著跌幅。至於兩項法治次指標,司法制度公平程度得 5.42 分,法庭公正程度則為 5.38 分,後者在過去半年出現顯著下跌。

調查的實效回應比率為 54.5%。在 95%置信水平下,調查的評分誤差不超過+/-0.31。

樣本資料

調查日期 : 1-10/8/2023

調查方法 : 由真實訪問員進行隨機抽樣電話訪問

訪問對象 : 18 歲或以上操粵語的香港居民

成功樣本數目[1] : 1,005 (包括 504 個固網及 501 個手機樣本)

實效回應比率 : 54.5%

抽樣誤差[2] : 在 95%置信水平下,評分誤差不超過+/-0.31

加權方法 : 按照政府統計處提供的統計數字以「反覆多重加權法」作出調整。全港人口

年齡及性別分佈統計數字來自《二零二二年年中人口數字》,而教育程度(最高就讀程度)及經濟活動身分統計數字則來自《香港的女性及男性 - 主要統

計數字》(2022年版)。

[1] 數字為調查的總樣本數目,個別題目則可能只涉及次樣本。有關數字請參閱下列數表內列出的樣本數目。

[2] 此公報中所有誤差數字均以 95%置信水平計算。95%置信水平,是指倘若以不同隨機樣本重複進行有關調查 100 次,則 95 次各自計算出的誤差範圍會包含人口真實數字。由於調查數字涉及抽樣誤差,傳媒引用百分比 數字時,應避免使用小數點,在引用評分數字時,則可以使用一個小數點。

最新數據

以下是五項核心社會指標的最新數字:

調查日期	1-9/8/22	2-10/11/22	1-9/2/23	3-18/5/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	509-514	507-516	516-522	509-513	511-514	
回應比率	58.6%	48.9%	58.0%	52.4%	54.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
自由指標	5.43	5.65	$6.22^{[3]}$	5.87 ^[3]	5.74+/-0.26	-0.13
安定指標	$5.75^{[3]}$	5.91	6.21	5.74 ^[3]	5.67+/-0.23	-0.07
法治指標	5.74 ^[3]	5.20 ^[3]	$6.14^{[3]}$	5.53 ^[3]	5.58+/-0.24	+0.05
繁榮指標	5.52 ^[3]	5.09 ^[3]	5.83 ^[3]	5.61	5.42+/-0.21	-0.18
民主指標	4.78	4.99	5.25	4.66 ^[3]	4.92+/-0.26	+0.26

^[3] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是七項非核心社會指標的最新數字:

調查日期	9-12/8/21	7-10/2/22	1-9/8/22	1-9/2/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	633-642	548-554	507-515	516-521	509-517	
回應比率	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
社會福利指標	5.54	5.36	$6.05^{[4]}$	6.17	5.83+/-0.22	-0.34[4]
文明指標	5.57	5.32	$6.01^{[4]}$	6.31 ^[4]	5.81+/-0.22	-0.50[4]
廉潔指標	5.04	5.25	5.86 ^[4]	6.29 ^[4]	5.71+/-0.22	-0.59[4]
效率指標	5.14	4.56 ^[4]	$5.30^{[4]}$	5.94 ^[4]	5.66+/-0.22	-0.28
治安指標	5.99	6.10	6.55 ^[4]	6.61	5.57+/-0.22	-1.03 ^[4]
平等指標	4.39	4.31	5.34 ^[4]	5.72 ^[4]	5.20+/-0.23	-0.52[4]
公平指標	4.32	4.31	5.05 ^[4]	5.40 ^[4]	4.93+/-0.21	-0.46[4]

^[4] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是十項自由次指標的最新數字:

調查日期	9-12/8/21	7-10/2/22	1-9/8/22	1-9/2/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	595-639	549-612	507-515	515-523	<i>510-517</i>	
回應比率	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
自由指標 (重複顯示)	5.11	5.09	5.43 ^[5]	6.22 ^[5]	5.74+/-0.26	-0.48 ^[5]
出入境自由	6.37	5.66 ^[5]	6.15 ^[5]	7.49 ^[5]	7.37+/-0.22	-0.11
信仰自由	6.83	6.94	$7.30^{[5]}$	7.63 ^[5]	7.34+/-0.22	-0.29
學術研究自由	5.12	5.44	5.67	$6.37^{[5]}$	5.85+/-0.26	-0.53 ^[5]
文藝創作自由	4.95	5.29	5.36	5.72	5.59+/-0.28	-0.14
罷工自由	4.41	4.53	5.44 ^[5]	5.60	5.21+/-0.28	-0.39 ^[5]
言論自由	4.51	4.57	5.20 ^[5]	5.64 ^[5]	5.01+/-0.29	-0.63 ^[5]
結社自由	4.07	4.35	4.86 ^[5]	5.41 ^[5]	4.90+/-0.30	-0.51 ^[5]
出版自由	3.97 ^[5]	4.23	4.97 ^[5]	5.18	4.74+/-0.28	-0.44 ^[5]
新聞自由	4.13	4.34	5.19 ^[5]	5.40	4.70+/-0.29	-0.70 ^[5]
遊行示威自由	3.45	3.63	$4.06^{[5]}$	4.50 ^[5]	3.75+/-0.31	-0.75 ^[5]

^[5] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以下是兩項法治次指標及終審法院首席法官評分的最新數字:

調查日期	<u>9-12/8/21</u>	7-10/2/22	1-9/8/22	1-9/2/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	最新變化
樣本數目	568-670	668-678	507-518	518-524	511-513	
回應比率	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
最新結果	結果	結果	結果	結果	結果及誤差	
法治指標 (重複顯示)	5.06 ^[6]	5.13	5.74 ^[6]	6.14 ^[6]	5.58+/-0.24	-0.56 ^[6]
司法制度公平程度	4.40	4.67	5.37 ^[6]	5.43	5.42+/-0.24	-0.01
法庭公正程度	4.98 ^[6]	5.03	5.68 ^[6]	5.85	5.38+/-0.24	-0.46 ^[6]

^[6] 該數字與上次調查結果的差異超過在95%置信水平的抽樣誤差,表示有關變化在統計學上表面成立。不過,變化在統計學上成立與否,並不等同有關變化是否有實際用途或意義,而不同調查的加權方法亦可能有所不同。

以 $0 \subseteq 10$ 分評價,市民對五項核心社會指標的評分由高至低分別是「自由」、「安定」、「法治」、「繁榮」和「民主」,得分分別為 $5.74 \times 5.67 \times 5.58 \times 5.42$ 和 4.92 分,全部與三個月前的數字相比沒有顯著分別。

至於七項非核心指標中,獲最高分的為「社會福利」和「文明」,分別得 5.83 和 5.81 分;其次為「廉潔」、「效率」和「治安」,分別得 5.71、5.66 和 5.57 分,而「平等」和「公平」的得分則相對較低,分別得 5.20 和 4.93 分。相比半年前,除了「效率」以外的指標全部錄得顯著跌幅。

自由次指標方面,獲最高分的首兩項為「出入境自由」和「信仰自由」,分別得 7.37 和 7.34 分; 其次為「學術研究自由」、「文藝創作自由」、「罷工自由」和「言論自由」,分別得 5.85、5.59、 5.21 和 5.01 分;再次之為「結社自由」、「出版自由」和「新聞自由」,分別得 4.90、4.74 和 4.70 分,而「遊行示威自由」的得分則明顯較低,只有 3.75 分。相比半年前,除了「出入境自由」、 「信仰自由」和「文藝創作自由」以外的指標全部錄得顯著跌幅。 至於兩項法治次指標,司法制度公平程度得 5.42 分,法庭公正程度則為 5.38 分,後者在過去半年出現顯著下跌。

香港民研八月份新聞發佈活動

- 8月1日(星期二)新聞公報和數據更新:社會狀況評價及關心程度
- 8月8日(星期二)新聞公報和數據更新:民情指數之公民社會活躍程度分析
- 8月15日(星期二)新聞公報和數據更新:民情指數之社會階層第二種分析
- 8月24日(星期四)下午三時新聞發佈會:社會及自由指標
- 8月29日(星期二)新聞公報和數據更新:特首及政府民望;對香港前途信心



Tel 電話: (852) 3844 3111 Fax 傳真: (852) 3705 3361 Webeite 網址: https://www.p.

Website 網址: https://www.pori.hk

Address: Units 9-11, 6/F, Tower B, Southmark, 11 Yip Hing Street, Wong Chuk Hang

地址: 黃竹坑業興街 11 號南滙廣場 B 座 6 樓 9-11 室

Press Release on August 24, 2023

HKPORI releases social and freedom indicators

Special Announcement

The Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) has already launched the free download part of its Poll Data Enquiry System some time ago, today we officially launch the paid part as well, where all public members can access and purchase the results of our regular surveys conducted between May and July this year. Some are free of charge, some are paid items, and some are yet to be released to the market. For the time being, only findings from our June survey on people's ethnic identity are put on sale. All published surveys conducted from May to July can be downloaded free of charge, and findings previously put there for demonstration purpose have been removed. We will gradually add more survey items to the platform, as well as to extend the period of coverage, in order to give more choices to our data enquirers. HKPORI requires all data acquirers, whether they have obtained the information for a fee or free of charge, to pledge that they will not use it for illegal purposes, and not to transfer or resell it to any person. Please contact enquiry@pori.hk for more details.

Abstract

HKPORI successfully interviewed 1,005 Hong Kong residents by a random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers in early August.

Our latest survey shows that, on a scale of 0 to 10, people's ratings on the five core social indicators ranked from the highest to the lowest are "freedom", "stability", "rule of law", "prosperity" and "democracy". Their scores are 5.74, 5.67, 5.58, 5.42 and 4.92 respectively. All these ratings have not changed much compared with three months ago. As for the seven non-core indicators, "social welfare sufficiency" and "civilization" got the highest ratings, followed by "corruption-free practices", "efficiency" and "public order". "Equality" and "fairness" got relatively lower ratings. All non-core indicators except "efficiency" have decreased significantly over the past six months.

As for the freedom sub-indicators, the top 2 go to freedoms of "entering or leaving Hong Kong" and "religious belief", followed by freedoms of "academic research", "artistic and literary creation", "strike" and "speech". The next tier is formed by freedoms of "association", "publication" and "press" while freedom of "procession and demonstration" scored much lower than the other sub-indicators, at 3.75 marks only. Compared with half a year ago, all freedom sub-indicators except freedoms of "entering or leaving Hong Kong", "religious belief" and "artistic and literary creation" have decreased significantly. Regarding the two rule of law sub-indicators, "fairness of the judicial system" got 5.42 marks, while "impartiality of the courts" got 5.38 marks. The latter has decreased significantly when compared to half a year ago.

The effective response rate of the survey is 54.5%. The maximum sampling error of ratings is +/-0.31 at 95% confidence level.

Contact Information

Date of survey : 1-10/8/2023

Survey method : Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers

Target population : Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above

Sample size^[1] : 1,005 (including 504 landline and 501 mobile samples)

Effective response rate : 54.5%

Sampling error [2] : Sampling error of ratings not more than +/-0.31 at 95% conf. level

Weighting method : Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics

Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from "Mid-year population for 2022", while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from

"Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2022 Edition)".

Latest Figures

Herewith the latest figures of the five core social indicators:

Date of survey	<u>1-9/8/22</u>	2-10/11/22	1-9/2/23	3-18/5/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	509-514	507-516	516-522	509-513	511-514	
Response rate	58.6%	48.9%	58.0%	52.4%	54.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Degree of freedom	5.43	5.65	$6.22^{[3]}$	5.87 ^[3]	5.74+/-0.26	-0.13
Degree of stability	5.75 ^[3]	5.91	6.21	5.74 ^[3]	5.67+/-0.23	-0.07
Compliance with the rule of law	5.74 ^[3]	$5.20^{[3]}$	$6.14^{[3]}$	5.53 ^[3]	5.58+/-0.24	+0.05
Degree of prosperity	5.52 ^[3]	5.09 ^[3]	$5.83^{[3]}$	5.61	5.42+/-0.21	-0.18
Degree of democracy	4.78	4.99	5.25	4.66 ^[3]	4.92+/-0.26	+0.26

^[3] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

^[1] This figure is the total sample size of the survey. Some questions may only involve a subsample, the size of which can be found in the tables below.

^[2] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. "95% confidence level" means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

Herewith the latest figures of the seven non-core social indicators:

Date of survey	9-12/8/21	<u>7-10/2/22</u>	<u>1-9/8/22</u>	<u>1-9/2/23</u>	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	633-642	548-554	507-515	516-521	509-517	
Response rate	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Degree of social welfare sufficiency	5.54	5.36	$6.05^{[4]}$	6.17	5.83+/-0.22	-0.34[4]
Degree of civilization	5.57	5.32	$6.01^{[4]}$	6.31 ^[4]	5.81+/-0.22	-0.50[4]
Degree of corruption-free practices	5.04	5.25	5.86 ^[4]	6.29 ^[4]	5.71+/-0.22	-0.59[4]
Degree of efficiency	5.14	4.56 ^[4]	$5.30^{[4]}$	5.94 ^[4]	5.66+/-0.22	-0.28
Degree of public order	5.99	6.10	$6.55^{[4]}$	6.61	5.57+/-0.22	-1.03 ^[4]
Degree of equality	4.39	4.31	5.34 ^[4]	5.72 ^[4]	5.20+/-0.23	-0.52[4]
Degree of fairness	4.32	4.31	5.05 ^[4]	5.40 ^[4]	4.93+/-0.21	<i>-0.46</i> ^[4]

^[4] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Herewith the latest figures of the ten freedom sub-indicators:

Date of survey	9-12/8/21	7-10/2/22	<u>1-9/8/22</u>	1-9/2/23	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	Latest change
Sample size	595-639	549-612	507-515	515-523	510-517	
Response rate	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Degree of freedom (repeated listing)	5.11	5.09	5.43 ^[5]	$6.22^{[5]}$	5.74+/-0.26	<i>-0.48</i> ^[5]
Freedom to enter or leave Hong Kong	6.37	5.66 ^[5]	6.15 ^[5]	7.49 ^[5]	7.37+/-0.22	-0.11
Freedom of religious belief	6.83	6.94	$7.30^{[5]}$	$7.63^{[5]}$	7.34+/-0.22	-0.29
Freedom to engage in academic research	5.12	5.44	5.67	6.37 ^[5]	5.85+/-0.26	-0.53 ^[5]
Freedom to engage in artistic and literary creation	4.95	5.29	5.36	5.72	5.59+/-0.28	-0.14
Freedom to strike	4.41	4.53	5.44 ^[5]	5.60	5.21+/-0.28	-0.39 ^[5]
Freedom of speech	4.51	4.57	5.20 ^[5]	5.64 ^[5]	5.01+/-0.29	-0.63[5]
Freedom of association	4.07	4.35	4.86 ^[5]	5.41 ^[5]	4.90+/-0.30	-0.51 ^[5]
Freedom of publication	3.97 ^[5]	4.23	4.97 ^[5]	5.18	4.74+/-0.28	-0.44[5]
Freedom of press	4.13	4.34	5.19 ^[5]	5.40	4.70+/-0.29	-0.70 ^[5]
Freedom of procession and demonstration	3.45	3.63	4.06 ^[5]	4.50 ^[5]	3.75+/-0.31	-0. 75 ^[5]

^[5] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

Herewith the latest figures of the two rule of law sub-indicators and the rating of the Chief Justice:

Date of survey	9-12/8/21	<u>7-10/2/22</u>	<u>1-9/8/22</u>	<u>1-9/2/23</u>	<u>1-10/8/23</u>	<u>Latest</u> <u>change</u>
Sample size	568-670	668-678	507-518	518-524	511-513	
Response rate	49.4%	58.1%	58.6%	58.0%	54.5%	
Latest findings	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding	Finding & error	
Compliance with the rule of law (repeated listing)	5.06 ^[6]	5.13	5.74 ^[6]	6.14 ^[6]	5.58+/-0.24	-0.56[6]
Fairness of the judicial system	4.40	4.67	5.37 ^[6]	5.43	5.42+/-0.24	-0.01
Impartiality of the courts	4.98 ^[6]	5.03	5.68 ^[6]	5.85	5.38+/-0.24	-0.46 ^[6]

^[6] The difference between the figure and the result from the previous survey has gone beyond the sampling error at 95% confidence level, meaning that the change is statistically significant prima facie. However, whether the difference is statistically significant is not the same as whether they are practically useful or meaningful, and different weighting methods could have been applied in different surveys.

On a scale of 0 to 10, people's ratings on the five core social indicators ranked from the highest to the lowest are "freedom", "stability", "rule of law", "prosperity" and "democracy". Their scores are 5.74, 5.67, 5.58, 5.42 and 4.92 respectively. All these ratings have not changed much compared with three months ago.

As for the seven non-core indicators, "social welfare sufficiency" and "civilization" got the highest ratings with 5.83 and 5.81 marks, followed by "corruption-free practices", "efficiency" and "public order", at 5.71, 5.66 and 5.57 marks respectively. "Equality" and "fairness" got relatively lower ratings, which stand at 5.20 and 4.93 marks respectively. All non-core indicators except "efficiency" have decreased significantly over the past six months.

As for the freedom sub-indicators, the top 2 go to freedoms of "entering or leaving Hong Kong" and "religious belief", with 7.37 and 7.34 marks respectively, followed by freedoms of "academic research", "artistic and literary creation", "strike" and "speech", with 5.85, 5.59, 5.21 and 5.01 marks respectively. The next tier is formed by freedoms of "association", "publication" and "press", with 4.90, 4.74 and 4.70 marks respectively, while freedom of "procession and demonstration" scored much lower than the other sub-indicators, at 3.75 marks only. Compared with half a year ago, all freedom sub-indicators except freedoms of "entering or leaving Hong Kong", "religious belief" and "artistic and literary creation" have decreased significantly.

Regarding the two rule of law sub-indicators, "fairness of the judicial system" got 5.42 marks, while "impartiality of the courts" got 5.38 marks. The latter has decreased significantly when compared to half a year ago.

HKPORI Press Events in August

- August 1 (Tuesday) press release and figures update: Appraisal of society's conditions and level of concern
- August 8 (Tuesday) press release and figures update: PSI per activeness in civil society
- August 15 (Tuesday) press release and figures update: PSI per Social Strata (Second Type)
- August 24 (Thursday) at 15:00, press conference: Social and freedom indicators
- August 29 (Tuesday) press release and figures update: Popularities of CE and SAR Government; confidence in Hong Kong's future