



# Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

---

## **PopPanel Research Report No. 140 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 98**

---

**Survey Date: 11 May to 16 May 2022**

**Release Date: 20 May 2022**

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI) and opened to the world. HKPORI proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPORI was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “PORI” in this publication may refer to HKPORI or HKUPOP as the case may be..

## Research Background

“We Hongkongers” is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website “We the People” hosted by the White House of the United States, “We Hongkongers” aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: <https://www.pori.hk/research-reports-en/wehkers.html?lang=en>.

“We Hongkongers” Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong’s political and public sentiment, HKPORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the “HKPOP Panel” established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 140 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 98 under the “We Hongkongers” Project Series. The theme of this report is “Elderly Service” co-organized with Elderly Service Advocacy Alliance.

HKPORI sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

## Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

**Table 1: Detailed Contact Information**

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	11 May, 3pm – 16 May, 3pm
Total sample size	4,643
Response rate	5.4%
Sampling error <sup>[1]</sup>	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPORI uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

## Response Rate

HKPORI adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPORI normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

**Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPORI definition)**

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{4,643}{86,353}$	x 100.0%
=	5.4%	

## Weighting Procedure

HKPORI has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPORI adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 5 by 4" weighting procedure involving six variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, appraisal of political condition and political inclination. Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPORI are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of appraisal of political condition and political inclination would match that of the general population in HKPORI's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPORI's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The appraisals of political condition used for weighting are as follows:

- Very satisfied
- Quite satisfied
- Half-half // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer
- Quite dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

The political inclinations used for weighting are as follows:

- Pro-democracy camp
- Pro-establishment camp
- Localist
- Centrist // Other // No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer


### Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

**Table 3: Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/5/2022**

Q1 Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home has a higher chance to contract COVID-19?	<b>Combined (Base=4,642)</b>
<b>Elderly at home have much higher chance to be infected</b>	2%
<b>Elderly at home have somewhat higher chance to be infected</b>	3%
<b>About the same</b>	18%
<b>Elderly in elderly homes have somewhat higher chance to be infected</b>	38%
<b>Elderly in elderly homes have much higher chance to be infected</b>	33%
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	7%
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 45%;"> <p><b>Elderly at home have higher chance to be infected</b> }  <b>Elderly in elderly homes have higher chance to be infected</b> }</p> </div> <div style="width: 45%; text-align: right;"> <p>4% }  <b>71%</b> }</p> </div> </div>	


**Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart**



**Table 4: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/5/2022**

Q2 Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think the mortality rate of an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home will be higher if they contract COVID-19?		<b>Combined (Base=4,634)</b>	
<b>Mortality rate of elderly at home are much higher</b>	} <b>Mortality rate of elderly at home are higher</b>	3%	} <b>7%</b>
<b>Mortality rate of elderly at home are somewhat higher</b>		5%	
<b>About the same</b>		25%	
<b>Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are somewhat higher</b>	} <b>Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are higher</b>	36%	} <b>56%</b>
<b>Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are much higher</b>		20%	
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>		12%	


**Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart**



**Table 5: Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/5/2022**

Q3 What do you think is approximately the current statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?	Combined (Base=4,637)
<b>70 sq. ft. (correct answer)</b>	33%
<b>50 sq. ft.</b>	38%
<b>100 sq. ft.</b>	20%
<b>140 sq. ft.                    } Wrong answer</b>	2%                    } <b>67%</b>
<b>160 sq. ft.</b>	3%
<b>200 sq. ft</b>	3%

**Chart 3: Q3 Combined chart**






**Table 6: Q4 Survey results; Survey period: 11-16/5/2022**

Q4 What do you think should be the statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?	<b>Combined (Base=4,634)</b>
<b>Smaller than 70 sq. ft.</b>	1%
<b>70 sq. ft.</b>	4%
<b>Larger than 70 sq. ft.</b>	48%
<b>There should not be a statutory minimum living space per person</b>	8%
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	39%

**Chart 4: Q4 Combined chart**



# Appendices

### Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,159	55.7%	407	31.2%	292	55.1%	1,597	54.4%	2,575	55.5%	2,188	47.1%
Female	1,713	44.2%	900	68.8%	235	44.3%	1,324	45.1%	2,054	44.2%	2,439	52.5%
Other	7	0.2%	1	0.1%	3	0.6%	14	0.5%	14	0.3%	16	0.3%
Total	3,879	100.0%	1,308	100.0%	530	100.0%	2,936	100.0%	4,643	100.0%	4,643	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	525	13.5%	352	26.9%	41	7.7%	352	12.0%	603	13.0%	851	18.3%
30 - 39	949	24.5%	160	12.2%	100	18.9%	329	11.2%	1,098	23.7%	525	11.3%
40 - 49	966	24.9%	224	17.1%	115	21.7%	601	20.5%	1,154	24.9%	933	20.1%
50 - 59	880	22.7%	395	30.2%	140	26.4%	691	23.6%	1,069	23.0%	1,140	24.5%
60 or above	557	14.4%	177	13.6%	134	25.3%	963	32.8%	717	15.4%	1,194	25.7%
Total	3,877	100.0%	1,308	100.0%	530	100.0%	2,936	100.0%	4,641	100.0%	4,643	100.0%
Missing case(s)	2		<1		0		0		2		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	8	0.2%	13	1.0%	4	0.8%	49	1.7%	13	0.3%	64	1.4%
Secondary	577	14.9%	590	45.1%	136	25.7%	1,309	44.9%	737	16.2%	1,969	43.3%
Tertiary or above	3,290	84.9%	705	53.9%	389	73.5%	1,557	53.4%	3,795	83.5%	2,512	55.3%
Total	3,875	100.0%	1,307	100.0%	529	100.0%	2,915	100.0%	4,545	100.0%	4,545	100.0%
Missing case(s)	4		<1		1		21		98		98	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,509	39.0%	234	18.0%	174	32.9%	530	18.2%	1,723	38.0%	818	18.0%
Clerks and service workers	1,093	28.3%	439	33.8%	131	24.8%	850	29.2%	1,267	27.9%	1,420	31.3%
Workers	78	2.0%	24	1.8%	20	3.8%	141	4.9%	100	2.2%	167	3.7%
Students	141	3.6%	101	7.8%	10	1.9%	158	5.4%	156	3.4%	280	6.2%
Home-makers / housewives	162	4.2%	174	13.4%	23	4.3%	275	9.4%	192	4.2%	469	10.3%
Others	884	22.9%	327	25.1%	171	32.3%	960	32.9%	1,097	24.2%	1,381	30.4%
Total	3,867	100.0%	1,299	100.0%	529	100.0%	2,915	100.0%	4,535	100.0%	4,535	100.0%
Missing case(s)	12		9		1		21		108		108	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,290	59.0%	854	65.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,290	50.4%	854	18.8%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	42	7.9%	619	21.1%	42	0.9%	619	13.6%
Localist	1,589	41.0%	454	34.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,589	35.0%	454	10.0%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	185	34.9%	892	30.4%	185	4.1%	892	19.6%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	55	1.2%	52	1.1%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	303	57.2%	1,425	48.5%	303	6.7%	1,425	31.3%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	81	1.8%	257	5.7%
Total	3,879	100.0%	1,308	100.0%	530	100.0%	2,936	100.0%	4,545	100.0%	4,553	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		98		90	

**Appendix 2: Contact Information**

Total valid samples	4,643
Survey period	11-16/5/2022
Success rate	5.4%
Questionnaires sent out	86,353
Questionnaires received	5,178
Ineligible samples	48
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	487
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	1.4%

### Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

#### Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 11-16/5/2022

Q1 Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home has a higher chance to contract COVID-19?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=3,878)	Percentages (Base=530)	Percentages (Base=4,642)
Elderly at home have much higher chance to be infected	1.3%	2.3%	1.8%
Elderly at home have somewhat higher chance to be infected	3.7%	2.0%	2.6%
About the same	19.4%	18.3%	18.5%
Elderly in elderly homes have somewhat higher chance to be infected	39.9%	37.0%	37.6%
Elderly in elderly homes have much higher chance to be infected	33.6%	32.5%	32.9%
Don't know / hard to say	2.1%	7.9%	6.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<i>1</i>	<i>0</i>	<i>1</i>
Net value (Elderly at home have higher chance to be infected- Elderly in elderly homes have higher chance to be infected)	-68.4%	-65.3%	-66.1%

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

**Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 11-16/4/2022**

Q2 Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think the mortality rate of an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home will be higher if they contract COVID-19?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=3,871)	Percentages (Base=529)	Percentages (Base=4,634)
Mortality rate of elderly at home are much higher	0.8%	3.7%	2.5%
Mortality rate of elderly at home are somewhat higher	7.4%	1.5%	4.8%
About the same	25.5%	25.0%	25.4%
Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are somewhat higher	38.7%	35.7%	36.1%
Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are much higher	21.1%	19.4%	19.6%
Don't know / hard to say	6.6%	14.7%	11.5%
<b>Total</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	8	1	9
Net value (Mortality rate of elderly at home are higher- Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are higher)	-51.6%	-49.9%	-48.3%

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp



**Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 11-16/4/2022**

Q3 What do you think is approximately the current statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
	(Base=3,875)	(Base=529)	(Base=4,637)
50 sq. ft.	43.1%	36.6%	38.5%
70 sq. ft. (correct answer)	30.4%	34.1%	33.4%
100 sq. ft.	19.0%	19.8%	19.6%
140 sq. ft.	4.2%	1.5%	2.3%
160 sq. ft.	1.2%	4.1%	2.9%
200 sq. ft.	2.1%	4.0%	3.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	73.8	78.5	76.7
Standard error of mean	0.9	0.7	0.5
Median	70.0	70.0	70.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	4	1	5

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

**Q4 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 11-16/4/2022**

Q4 What do you think should be the statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=3,875)	Percentages (Base=527)	Percentages (Base=4,634)
10 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
15 sq. ft.	0%	0.0%	0.0%
20 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
40 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
50 sq. ft.	0.3%	1.8%	1.2%
60 sq. ft.	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%
70 sq. ft.	7.0%	1.3%	3.6%
75 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
80 sq. ft.	2.3%	2.9%	2.4%
85 sq. ft.	0.0%	0.9%	0.6%
90 sq. ft.	0.6%	0.1%	0.2%
99 sq. ft.	0%	0.1%	0.0%
100 sq. ft.	22.8%	17.9%	19.9%
110 sq. ft.	0.1%	1.3%	0.8%
120 sq. ft.	5.2%	0.9%	2.1%
130 sq. ft.	0.5%	0.0%	0.2%
135 sq. ft.	0%	0.0%	0.0%
140 sq. ft.	1.4%	3.8%	2.9%

145 sq. ft.	0%	0.0%	0.0%
150 sq. ft.	13.0%	3.9%	6.6%
160 sq. ft.	0.6%	3.8%	2.7%
170 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
175 sq. ft.	0%	0.0%	0.0%
180 sq. ft.	0.2%	0.3%	0.2%
190 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
200 sq. ft.	13.4%	4.9%	7.3%
209 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
250 sq. ft.	1.1%	0.3%	0.5%
260 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
280 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
300 sq. ft.	0.7%	0.2%	0.6%
320 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
400 sq. ft.	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
500 sq. ft.	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
700 sq. ft.	0%	0%	0.0%
1,000 sq. ft.	0.3%	0.0%	0.1%
1,500 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
1,800 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
2,000 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
4,000 sq. ft.	0.0%	0%	0.0%
There should not be a statutory minimum living space per person	5.5%	9.6%	8.3%
Don't know / hard to say	23.6%	45.9%	39.1%

Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	130.8	101.0	112.5
Standard error of mean	3.3	1.6	1.6
Median	100.0	100.0	100.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	4	3	7

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (11-16/5/2022)

### We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

**\*Required**

#### Eligibility Confirmation

**Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? \***

- Yes
- No

#### We Hongkongers Series

**Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home has a higher chance to contract COVID-19?**

- Elderly at home have much higher chance to be infected
- Elderly at home have somewhat higher chance to be infected
- About the same
- Elderly in elderly homes have somewhat higher chance to be infected
- Elderly in elderly homes have much higher chance to be infected
- Don't know / hard to say

**Assuming the same health condition and vaccination status, do you think the mortality rate of an elderly person living at home or in an elderly home will be higher if they contract COVID-19?**

- Mortality rate of elderly at home are much higher
- Mortality rate of elderly at home are somewhat higher
- About the same
- Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are somewhat higher

- Mortality rate of elderly in elderly homes are much higher
- Don't know / hard to say

**What do you think is approximately the current statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?**

(Please pick an answer based on what you currently know and do not search for the answer)

- 50 sq. ft.
- 70 sq. ft.
- 100 sq. ft.
- 140 sq. ft.
- 160 sq. ft.
- 200 sq. ft.

**What do you think should be the statutory minimum living space per person (including bedrooms and common area) in an elderly home?**

- \_\_\_\_\_ sq. ft.
- There should not be a statutory minimum living space per person
- Don't know / hard to say

## Community Health

**Have you ever contracted COVID-19?**

- Yes
- No / don't know

**How likely do you think it is that you will contract or re-contract COVID-19 over the next one month?**

(Please select the closest answer)

- |  |                                  |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> 0% chance (Certainly not)    | <input type="radio"/> 20% chance | <input type="radio"/> 70% chance                   |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) | <input type="radio"/> 25% chance | <input type="radio"/> 80% chance                   |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)   | <input type="radio"/> 30% chance | <input type="radio"/> 90% chance                   |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)     | <input type="radio"/> 35% chance | <input type="radio"/> 100% chance (Certainly will) |
| <input type="radio"/> 1% chance (1 in 100)         | <input type="radio"/> 40% chance | <input type="radio"/> _____ % chance               |
| <input type="radio"/> 5% chance (1 in 20)          | <input type="radio"/> 45% chance | <input type="radio"/> Don't know / hard to say     |
| <input type="radio"/> 10% chance (1 in 10)         | <input type="radio"/> 50% chance |  |
| <input type="radio"/> 15% chance                   | <input type="radio"/> 60% chance |  |

**Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?**

- Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally → [Skip questions related to this regulation](#)
- No, it should depend on the epidemic situation
- Don't know / hard to say

**For reference, there are 273 additional positive cases on May 10, 2022**

**How many additional positive cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?**

*(The pandemic should be at its worst)*

- Number of additional positive cases each day: \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know / hard to say

**How many additional positive cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?**

- Number of additional positive cases each day: \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know / hard to say

**How many additional positive cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?**

- Number of additional positive cases each day: \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know / hard to say

**How many additional positive cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?**

- Number of additional positive cases each day: \_\_\_\_\_
- Don't know / hard to say

**If necessary, please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:**

---

**After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?**

\_\_\_\_\_ day(s)

**How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government’s performance in handling COVID-19?**

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don’t know / hard to say

**Other Opinions**

**Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current ..... in Hong Kong?**

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don’t know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

**Personal Information**

**This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.**

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

**Gender**

- Male
- Female
- Other



**Age**

- |                                       |                                   |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> _____ years old | <input type="radio"/> 40 - 44     |
| <input type="radio"/> 12 - 14         | <input type="radio"/> 45 - 49     |
| <input type="radio"/> 15 - 17         | <input type="radio"/> 50 - 54     |
| <input type="radio"/> 18 - 19         | <input type="radio"/> 55 - 59     |
| <input type="radio"/> 20 - 24         | <input type="radio"/> 60 - 64     |
| <input type="radio"/> 25 - 29         | <input type="radio"/> 65 - 69     |
| <input type="radio"/> 30 - 34         | <input type="radio"/> 70 or above |
| <input type="radio"/> 35 - 39         |                                   |

**Educational attainment**

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

**Occupation**

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Which of the following best describes your political inclination?**

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: \_\_\_\_\_

- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

**End of Questionnaire**

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).