



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE
香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 128 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 88

Survey Date: 14 to 17 February 2022

Release Date: 18 February 2022

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

“We Hongkongers” is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website “We the People” hosted by the White House of the United States, “We Hongkongers” aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: <https://www.pori.hk/research-reports-en/wehkers.html?lang=en>.

“We Hongkongers” Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong’s political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the “HKPOP Panel” established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the “We Hongkongers Panel Survey” to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the “We Hongkongers” Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 128 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 88 under the “We Hongkongers” Project Series. The research is co-organized with CarbonCare InnoLab (CCIL) on the theme “Community Response Plan on Climate Change”.

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population from Census and Statistics Department; 2) appraisal of political condition and political inclination distribution from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	14 January, 3pm – 17 January, 3pm
Total sample size	4,847
Response rate	5.5%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPOP website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the HKPOP Panel}}$	x 100.0%
=	$\frac{4,847}{88,171}$	x 100.0%
=	5.5%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 5 by 4" weighting procedure involving six variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, appraisal of political condition and political inclination. Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of appraisal of political condition and political inclination would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The appraisals of political condition used for weighting are as follows:

- Very satisfied
- Quite satisfied
- Half-half // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer
- Quite dissatisfied
- Very dissatisfied

The political inclinations used for weighting are as follows:

- Pro-democracy camp
- Pro-establishment camp
- Localist
- Centrist // Other // No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp // Don't know / hard to say // Refused to answer

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1a Survey results; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q1a How much do you support or oppose to increase the number of temporary shelters?	Combined (Base=4,798)
Strongly support	37%
Somewhat support	35%
Half-half	19%
Somewhat oppose	2%
Strongly oppose	4%
Don't know / hard to say	3%
Mean^[2]	4.0

} Support	72%
} Oppose	6%

[2] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of capability, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1a Combined chart




Table 4: Q1b Survey results; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q1b How much do you support or oppose to Combine different types of temporary shelters into a “community climate response centre” that can cope with various situations?	Combined (Base=4,824)
Strongly support	37%
Somewhat support	40%
Half-half	15%
Somewhat oppose	2%
Strongly oppose	2%
Don't know / hard to say	4%
Mean^[3]	4.1

[3] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of capability, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q1b Combined chart




Table 5: Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

<p>Q2 There are views that the existing temporary night heat shelters are located in remote areas, resulting in low usage. How much do you support or oppose the government opening public places (e.g., municipal services buildings, sports centres) at night during very hot weather to facilitate people living in inadequate housing such as sub-divided units and rooftop slums nearby to go take refuge?</p>	<p>Combined (Base=4,841)</p>												
<p>Strongly support</p> <p>Somewhat support</p> <p>Half-half</p> <p>Somewhat oppose</p> <p>Strongly oppose</p> <p>Don't know / hard to say</p>	<table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: right;">31%</td> <td style="width: 50%;"></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">39%</td> <td style="text-align: right;">} 70%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">21%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">5%</td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">2%</td> <td style="text-align: right;">} 7%</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: right;">2%</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	31%		39%	} 70%	21%		5%		2%	} 7%	2%	
31%													
39%	} 70%												
21%													
5%													
2%	} 7%												
2%													
<p>Mean^[4]</p>	<p>3.9</p>												

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of capability, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 3: Q2 Combined chart





Table 6: Q3 Survey results; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q3 Have you ever heard of the concept of “climate justice”?	Combined (Base=4,835)
Yes	17%
No	83%

Chart 4: Q3 Combined chart



Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Overall sample			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,367	57.9%	362	30.0%	285	57.7%	1,687	55.3%	2,793	57.6%	2,286	47.2%
Female	1,717	42.0%	839	69.5%	208	42.1%	1,361	44.7%	2,042	42.1%	2,547	52.5%
Other	7	0.2%	7	0.5%	1	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	12	0.2%	14	0.3%
Total	4,091	100.0%	1,207	100.0%	494	100.0%	3,049	100.0%	4,847	100.0%	4,847	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Overall sample			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	572	14.0%	421	34.9%	32	6.5%	369	12.1%	642	13.3%	957	19.7%
30 - 39	1,023	25.0%	63	5.2%	82	16.6%	292	9.6%	1,168	24.1%	425	8.8%
40 - 49	1,036	25.3%	201	16.6%	119	24.1%	672	22.0%	1,236	25.5%	1,125	23.2%
50 - 59	915	22.4%	307	25.4%	145	29.4%	747	24.5%	1,120	23.1%	1,114	23.0%
60 or above	543	13.3%	216	17.9%	116	23.5%	968	31.8%	679	14.0%	1,227	25.3%
Total	4,089	100.0%	1,207	100.0%	494	100.0%	3,049	100.0%	4,845	100.0%	4,847	100.0%
Missing case(s)	2		<1		0		0		2		<1	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Overall sample			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	8	0.2%	<1	<0.1%	1	0.2%	17	0.6%	10	0.2%	20	0.4%
Secondary	629	15.4%	501	41.6%	120	24.3%	1,287	42.2%	779	16.5%	2,002	42.3%
Tertiary or above	3,447	84.4%	704	58.4%	373	75.5%	1,744	57.2%	3,946	83.3%	2,714	57.3%
Total	4,084	100.0%	1,205	100.0%	494	100.0%	3,049	100.0%	4,735	100.0%	4,735	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7		2		0		0		112		112	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Overall sample			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	1,615	39.6%	116	9.6%	167	33.9%	692	22.7%	1,832	38.8%	845	17.9%
Clerks and service workers	1,134	27.8%	494	40.9%	128	26.0%	863	28.3%	1,306	27.6%	1,583	33.5%
Workers	101	2.5%	11	1.0%	18	3.7%	107	3.5%	124	2.6%	119	2.5%
Students	139	3.4%	130	10.8%	9	1.8%	158	5.2%	153	3.2%	310	6.6%
Home-makers / housewives	179	4.4%	160	13.2%	17	3.5%	280	9.2%	203	4.3%	499	10.6%
Others	912	22.4%	295	24.5%	153	31.1%	947	31.1%	1,107	23.4%	1,369	29.0%
Total	4,080	100.0%	1,206	100.0%	492	100.0%	3,047	100.0%	4,725	100.0%	4,725	100.0%
Missing case(s)	11		1		2		1		122		122	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Overall sample			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	2,370	57.9%	824	68.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2,370	50.0%	824	17.5%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	31	6.3%	709	23.3%	31	0.7%	709	15.1%
Localist	1,721	42.1%	383	31.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1,721	36.3%	383	8.2%
Centrist	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	170	34.4%	918	30.1%	170	3.6%	918	19.5%
Others	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	56	1.2%	146	3.1%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	293	59.3%	1,422	46.6%	293	6.2%	1,422	30.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	96	2.0%	300	6.4%
Total	4,091	100.0%	1,207	100.0%	494	100.0%	3,049	100.0%	4,737	100.0%	4,701	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		110		146	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	4,847
Survey period	14-17/2/2022
Success rate	5.5%
Questionnaires sent out	88,171
Questionnaires received	5,720
Ineligible samples	72
Invalid samples	0
Incomplete samples	801
Standard error	0.7%
Sampling error	1.4%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1a Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q1a How much do you support or oppose the following measures? [Increase the number of temporary shelters]	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages (Base=4,054)		Percentages (Base=487)		Percentages (Base=4,798)	
Strongly support }Support	46.5%	}80.8%	31.7%	}69.4%	36.9%	}72.1%
Somewhat support	34.3%		37.6%		35.2%	
Half-half	14.7%		20.6%		18.9%	
Somewhat oppose }Oppose	2.1%	}3.4%	2.1%	}5.8%	2.0%	}5.6%
Strongly oppose	1.4%		3.7%		3.5%	
Don't know / hard to say	1.1%		4.2%		3.4%	
Total	100.0%		100.0%		100.0%	
Mean values	4.2		4.0		4.0	
Standard error of mean	<0.1		<0.1		<0.1	
Median	4.0		4.0		4.0	
Refuse to answer	7		48		55	
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+77.4%		+63.6%		+66.6%	

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q1b Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q1b How much do you support or oppose the following measures? [Combine different types of temporary shelters into a "community climate response centre" that can cope with various situations]	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,072)	Percentages (Base=491)	Percentages (Base=4,824)
Strongly support } Support	48.3%	30.7%	37.3%
Somewhat support } Support	40.1%	42.8%	39.5%
Half-half	8.2%	16.4%	15.1%
Somewhat oppose } Oppose	0.6%	2.3%	1.6%
Strongly oppose } Oppose	1.1%	2.8%	2.2%
Don't know / hard to say	1.9%	5.0%	4.3%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	4.4	4.0	4.1
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	4.0	4.0	4.0
Refuse to answer	8	18	26
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+86.6%	+68.4%	+73.0%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q2 There are views that the existing temporary night heat shelters are located in remote areas, resulting in low usage. How much do you support or oppose the government opening public places (e.g., municipal services buildings, sports centres) at night during very hot weather to facilitate people living in inadequate housing such as sub-divided units and rooftop slums nearby to go take refuge?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,088)	Percentages (Base=492)	Percentages (Base=4,841)
Strongly support }Support	42.1%	23.4%	30.8%
Somewhat support }Support	46.1%	38.7%	38.9%
Half-half	8.2%	26.4%	21.1%
Somewhat oppose }Oppose	2.1%	7.3%	5.3%
Strongly oppose }Oppose	1.0%	2.3%	2.1%
Don't know / hard to say	0.5%	1.9%	1.8%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	4.3	3.7	3.9
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	4.0	4.0	4.0
Refuse to answer	<1	7	11
Net value (Support- Oppose)	+85.0%	+52.5%	+62.3%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q3 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 14-17/2/2022

Q3 Have you ever heard of the concept of "climate justice"?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=4,083)	Percentages (Base=490)	Percentages (Base=4,835)
Yes	14.7%	15.9%	16.8%
No	85.3%	84.1%	83.2%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	4	35	39

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (14-17/2/2022)

We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

***Required**

Eligibility Confirmation

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above (i.e., currently residing in Hong Kong)? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers Series

Currently, the Home Affairs Department will open community centres as temporary shelters for the needy during extreme weather conditions such as storms or very hot weather. In addition, there is a temporary cold shelter / night heat shelter in each district, as well as a temporary shelter for typhoons and landslides in almost every district.

How much do you support or oppose the following measures?

(Randomise the two measures)

	Strongly support	Somewhat support	Half-half	Somewhat oppose	Strongly oppose	Don't know / hard to say
Increase the number of temporary shelters						
Combine different types of temporary shelters into a “community climate response centre” that can cope with various situations						

There are views that the existing temporary night heat shelters are located in remote areas, resulting in low usage. How much do you support or oppose the government opening public places (e.g., municipal services buildings, sports centres) at night during very hot weather to facilitate people living in inadequate housing such as sub-divided units and rooftop slums nearby to go take refuge?

- Strongly support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Strongly oppose
- Don't know / hard to say

Have you ever heard of the concept of “climate justice”?

- Yes
- No

Community Health

How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?

(Please select the closest answer)

- | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> 0% chance (Certainly not) | <input type="radio"/> 20% chance | <input type="radio"/> 70% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000) | <input type="radio"/> 25% chance | <input type="radio"/> 80% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000) | <input type="radio"/> 30% chance | <input type="radio"/> 90% chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000) | <input type="radio"/> 35% chance | <input type="radio"/> 100% chance (Certainly will) |
| <input type="radio"/> 1% chance (1 in 100) | <input type="radio"/> 40% chance | <input type="radio"/> _____ % chance |
| <input type="radio"/> 5% chance (1 in 20) | <input type="radio"/> 45% chance | <input type="radio"/> Don't know / hard to say |
| <input type="radio"/> 10% chance (1 in 10) | <input type="radio"/> 50% chance | |
| <input type="radio"/> 15% chance | <input type="radio"/> 60% chance | |

Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?

- Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally → [Skip questions related to this regulation](#)
- No, it should depend on the epidemic situation
- Don't know / hard to say

For reference, there are 1,347 newly confirmed cases on February 13, 2022

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?

(The pandemic should be at its worst)

- Number of newly confirmed cases each day: _____
- Don't know / hard to say

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?

- Number of newly confirmed cases each day: _____
- Don't know / hard to say

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?

- Number of newly confirmed cases each day: _____
- Don't know / hard to say

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?

- Number of newly confirmed cases each day: _____
- Don't know / hard to say

If necessary, please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

After how many days of zero infection do you think the group gathering ban should be lifted altogether?

_____ day(s)

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current in Hong Kong?

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

Personal Information

This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update ([skip questions on personal information](#))
- Provide / update personal information now

Gender

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

- _____ years old
- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary ([Secondary 1 to 3](#))

- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

(Randomise the four camps)

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- Other: _____
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.