



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香 港 民 意 研 究 所

---

## **Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute**

---

### **PopPanel Research Report No. 77 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 54**

---

**Survey Date: 10 to 13 May 2021**

**Release Date: 14 May 2021**

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world.  
HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information.  
The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP).  
“POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

## **Research Background**

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: [https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers\\_factsheet\\_20191017\\_chi](https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi).

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 77 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 54 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this report is "Academic Freedom".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

## Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

**Table 1: Detailed Contact Information**

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	10 May, 3pm – 13 May, 3pm
Total sample size	7,216
Response rate	7.7%
Sampling error <sup>[1]</sup>	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

## PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

## Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the “success rate” for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

**Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)**

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{7,216}{94,015} \times 100.0\%$
=	7.7%

## Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a “2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13” weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of “rating of Chief Executive” would match that of the general population in HKPOP’s regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP’s survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The “rating of Chief Executive” groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

## Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:


**Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/5/2021**

Q1 In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,157)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters <sup>[2]</sup> (Base=723)	Combined <sup>[3]</sup> (Base=6,880)
<b>A lot of effort</b>	1%	21%	13%
<b>Quite some effort</b>	5%	22%	16%
<b>Half-half</b>	18%	19%	19%
<b>Not much effort</b>	27%	13%	18%
<b>Very little effort / No effort at all</b>	45%	26%	27%
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	5%	9%	7%
<b>Mean<sup>[4]</sup></b>	1.8	3.2	2.7

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

**Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type**

\* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey




**Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 10-13/5/2021**

Q2 In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=6,157)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters <sup>[5]</sup> (Base=724)	Combined <sup>[6]</sup> (Base=6,881)
<b>A lot of restriction</b> <b>Quite some restriction</b> } <b>Big restriction</b>  <b>Half-half</b>  <b>Not much restriction</b> <b>Very little restriction / No restriction at all</b> } <b>Little restriction</b>  <b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	<b>76%</b> 18%      } <b>94%</b>  4%  <1% 2%      } <b>2%</b>  <1%	24% 14%      } <b>38%</b>  17%  13% 27%      } <b>41%</b>  5%	44% 15%      } <b>59%</b>  12%  8% 18%      } <b>26%</b>  3%
<b>Mean<sup>[7]</sup></b>	4.7	2.9	3.6

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

**Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type**

\* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey

# Appendices

**Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents**

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	3,288	53.4%	1,053	42.9%	410	56.6%	2,210	53.7%	3,698	53.7%	3,263	49.7%
Female	2,862	46.5%	1,403	57.1%	312	43.0%	1,893	46.0%	3,174	46.1%	3,296	50.2%
Other	9	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	3	0.4%	11	0.3%	12	0.2%	11	0.2%
Total	6,159	100.0%	2,457	100.0%	725	100.0%	4,115	100.0%	6,884	100.0%	6,571	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	895	14.5%	755	30.8%	51	7.0%	348	8.5%	946	13.8%	1,103	16.8%
30 - 39	1,510	24.5%	232	9.5%	127	17.5%	553	13.4%	1,637	23.8%	785	12.0%
40 - 49	1,538	25.0%	506	20.6%	187	25.8%	760	18.5%	1,725	25.1%	1,266	19.3%
50 - 59	1,420	23.1%	587	23.9%	208	28.7%	1,388	33.7%	1,628	23.7%	1,974	30.0%
60 or above	792	12.9%	376	15.3%	152	21.0%	1,066	25.9%	944	13.7%	1,443	22.0%
Total	6,155	100.0%	2,457	100.0%	725	100.0%	4,115	100.0%	6,880	100.0%	6,571	100.0%
Missing case(s)	5	0%	<1	0%	0		0		5		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	10	0.2%	12	0.5%	3	0.4%	6	0.2%	13	0.2%	18	0.3%
Secondary	954	15.5%	1,141	46.5%	145	20.0%	1,221	29.7%	1,099	16.0%	2,362	36.0%
Tertiary or above	5,190	84.3%	1,302	53.0%	576	79.6%	2,887	70.2%	5,766	83.8%	4,189	63.8%
Total	6,154	100.0%	2,454	100.0%	724	100.0%	4,114	100.0%	6,878	100.0%	6,569	100.0%
Missing case(s)	6	0%	2	0%	1		<1		7		3	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,497	40.6%	446	18.2%	264	36.5%	1,050	25.5%	2,761	40.2%	1,495	22.8%
Clerks and service workers	1,612	26.2%	617	25.2%	182	25.1%	1,001	24.3%	1,794	26.1%	1,618	24.6%
Workers	124	2.0%	141	5.7%	17	2.3%	196	4.8%	141	2.1%	337	5.1%
Students	238	3.9%	249	10.1%	11	1.5%	170	4.1%	249	3.6%	419	6.4%
Home-makers / housewives	292	4.8%	316	12.9%	27	3.7%	346	8.4%	319	4.6%	662	10.1%
Others	1,380	22.5%	683	27.9%	223	30.8%	1,352	32.9%	1,603	23.3%	2,035	31.0%
Total	6,143	100.0%	2,452	100.0%	724	100.0%	4,114	100.0%	6,867	100.0%	6,566	100.0%
Missing case(s)	17		5		1		<1		18		5	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Wan Chai	268	4.4%	59	2.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Eastern	140	2.3%	36	1.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Southern	580	9.5%	109	4.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Yau Tsim Mong	250	4.1%	80	3.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Sham Shui Po	270	4.4%	167	6.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Kowloon City	296	4.9%	67	2.8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Wong Tai Sin	326	5.3%	66	2.7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Kwun Tong	320	5.2%	142	5.9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Tsuen Wan	446	7.3%	375	15.5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Tuen Mun	313	5.1%	105	4.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Yuen Long	363	6.0%	200	8.3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
North	384	6.3%	149	6.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Tai Po	189	3.1%	39	1.6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Sai Kung	262	4.3%	180	7.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Sha Tin	519	8.5%	149	6.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Kwai Tsing	706	11.6%	223	9.2%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Islands	337	5.5%	202	8.4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	129	2.1%	68	2.8%	717	100.0%	4,102	100.0%	846	100.0%	4,170	100.0%
Missing case(s)	6,098		2,418		0		0		0		0	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,545	57.5%	1,540	62.7%	0	0%	0	0%	3,545	51.5%	1,540	23.4%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Localist	2,615	42.5%	917	37.3%	0	0%	0	0%	2,615	38.0%	917	14.0%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	6,160	100.0%	2,457	100.0%	725	100.0%	4,115	100.0%	6,885	100.0%	6,571	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	0	0%	0	0%	446	61.6%	396	9.7%	0	0%	0	0%
Non-democratic	5,832	95.1%	1,274	52.1%	125	17.3%	2,073	50.6%	5,957	730.9%	3,347	71.6%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	9	0.1%	42	1.7%	119	16.4%	1,337	32.7%	128	15.7%	1,379	29.5%
Not a registered voter	201	3.3%	550	22.5%	34	4.7%	288	7.0%	235	28.8%	838	17.9%
Total	91	1.5%	582	23.8%	724	100.0%	4,095	100.0%	815	100.0%	4,676	100.0%

\*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp



**Appendix 2: Contact Information**

Total valid samples	7,216
Survey period	10-13/5/2021
Success rate	7.7%
Questionnaires sent out	94,015
Questionnaires received	7,677
Ineligible samples	51
Invalid samples	47
Incomplete samples	363
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.4%

### Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

#### Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/5/2021

Q1 In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,457)	Percentages (Base=4,114)	Percentages (Base=6,571)
<b>A lot of effort</b>	0.7%	20.7%	13.2%
<b>Quite some effort</b> } <b>Big effort</b>	4.8% } 5.5%	22.3% } 43.0%	15.8% } 29.0%
<b>Half-half</b>	18.1%	19.3%	18.8%
<b>Not much effort</b>	26.9%	12.6%	17.9%
<b>Very little effort /</b> } <b>Little effort</b>	44.7% } 71.5%	16.2% } 28.8%	26.9% } 44.8%
<b>No effort at all</b>			
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	4.8%	8.9%	7.4%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.8	3.2	2.7
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	2.0	3.0	3.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	<1	<1	<1
<b>Net value (Big effort - Little effort)</b>	<b>-66.0%</b>	<b>+14.2%</b>	<b>-15.8%</b>

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

**Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 10-13/5/2021**

Q2 In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages	Percentages	Percentages
	(Base=2,453)	(Base=4,114)	(Base=6,568)
<b>A lot of restriction</b>	76.4%	24.0%	43.6%
<b>Quite some restriction</b>	17.6%	14.0%	15.3%
<b>Half-half</b>	3.7%	16.7%	11.8%
<b>Not much restriction</b>	0.0%	13.3%	8.3%
<b>Very little restriction</b>	2.3%	27.4%	18.1%
<b>/ No restriction at all</b>			
<b>Don't know / hard to say</b>	0.1%	4.6%	2.9%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	4.7	2.9	3.6
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	5.0	3.0	4.0
Refuse to answer	4	<1	4
<b>Net value (Big restriction- Little restriction)</b>	<b>+91.6%</b>	<b>-2.7%</b>	<b>+32.5%</b>

# Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

## Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (10-13/5/2021)

### We Hongkongers & Community Health Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral. We welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

**\*Required**

#### Eligibility Confirmation

**Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? \***

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ No

**(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at**

**<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> \***

- ☐ Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- ☐ Have just registered as a member
- ☐ Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

**(New member) Email used / will be used in registration: \***

---

**We Hongkongers Series**

**In general, how much effort do you think the management of various universities in Hong Kong are paying to protect academic freedom?**

- ☐ A lot of effort
- ☐ Quite some effort
- ☐ Half-half
- ☐ Not much effort
- ☐ Very little effort / No effort at all
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say

**In general, how much restriction do you think the Hong Kong national security law has imposed on academic freedom in Hong Kong?**

- ☐ A lot of restriction
- ☐ Quite some restriction
- ☐ Half-half
- ☐ Not much restriction
- ☐ Very little restriction / No restriction at all
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say

**Community Health**

**How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?**

(Please select the closest answer)

- ☐ 0% chance (Certainly not)
- ☐ 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- ☐ 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- ☐ 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- ☐ 1% chance (1 in 100)
- ☐ 5% chance (1 in 20)
- ☐ 10% chance (1 in 10)
- ☐ 15% chance
- ☐ 20% chance
- ☐ 25% chance
- ☐ 30% chance
- ☐ 35% chance
- ☐ 40% chance
- ☐ 45% chance
- ☐ 50% chance
- ☐ 60% chance
- ☐ 70% chance
- ☐ 80% chance
- ☐ 90% chance
- ☐ 100% chance (Certainly will)
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?**

- ☐ Very much satisfied
- ☐ Somewhat satisfied
- ☐ Half-half
- ☐ Somewhat dissatisfied
- ☐ Very much dissatisfied
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say

### Other Opinions

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purposes only]

- ☐ \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say

**Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current ..... in Hong Kong?**

(Randomise the three conditions)

	Very much satisfied	Somewhat satisfied	Half-half	Somewhat dissatisfied	Very much dissatisfied	Don't know / hard to say
Political condition						
Economic condition						
Livelihood condition						

### Other Opinions

**Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?**

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

**Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)**

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

### Personal Information

**(Registered member)** This section is about personal information. If you have already provided relevant information in this survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- ☐ I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)

- ☐ Provide / update personal information now

**Gender**

- ☐ Male  
☐ Female  
☐ Other

**Age**

- ☐ \_\_\_\_\_ years old  
☐ 12 - 14  
☐ 15 - 17  
☐ 18 - 19  
☐ 20 - 24  
☐ 25 - 29  
☐ 30 - 34  
☐ 35 - 39  
☐ 40 - 44  
☐ 45 - 49  
☐ 50 - 54  
☐ 55 - 59  
☐ 60 - 64  
☐ 65 - 69  
☐ 70 or above

**Educational attainment**

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- ☐ Primary or below  
☐ Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)  
☐ Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)  
☐ Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)  
☐ Tertiary: bachelor degree course  
☐ Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

**Occupation**

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- ☐ Administrator and professional  
☐ Clerical and service worker  
☐ Production worker  
☐ Student



- ☐ Home-maker / housewife
- ☐ Retired person
- ☐ Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_

**Which of the following best describes your political inclination?**

(Randomise the four camps)

- ☐ Localist
- ☐ Pro-democracy camp
- ☐ Centrist
- ☐ Pro-establishment camp
- ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say

**Area of residence**

- ☐ Central & Western District
- ☐ Wan Chai
- ☐ Eastern District
- ☐ Southern District
- ☐ Yau Tsim Mong
- ☐ Sham Shui Po
- ☐ Kowloon City
- ☐ Wong Tai Sin
- ☐ Kwun Tong
- ☐ Tsuen Wan
- ☐ Tuen Mun
- ☐ Yuen Long
- ☐ North District
- ☐ Tai Po
- ☐ Sai Kung
- ☐ Sha Tin
- ☐ Kwai Tsing
- ☐ Islands

**Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?**

- ☐ Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- ☐ Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- ☐ Don't know / hard to say
- ☐ Blank / void vote
- ☐ Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- ☐ Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

**End of Questionnaire**

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at [panel@pori.hk](mailto:panel@pori.hk).