



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 74 cum “We Hongkongers” Research Report No. 52

Survey Date: 16 to 21 April 2021

Release Date: 23 April 2021

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). “POP” in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

"We Hongkongers" is an initiative advocated by Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), with the support of many members of the civic society. With reference to the petition website "We the People" hosted by the White House of the United States, "We Hongkongers" aims to reflect public sentiment by conducting scientific research on any issues raised by Hong Kong citizens: https://www.pori.hk/wehongkongers_factsheet_20191017_chi.

"We Hongkongers" Project officially started on 17 October 2019, in the form of intensive rolling surveys. A total of 12 reports were published as of 23 December 2019. In mid-May 2020, in response to the rapid changes in Hong Kong's political and public sentiment, HKPOP redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project. Coupled with the rapid development of the "HKPOP Panel" established by PORI in July 2019, PORI decided to launch the "We Hongkongers Panel Survey" to further strengthen interaction with the public and as well as collect and analyze public opinion and there are 29 reports in total. In January 2021, PORI redeveloped the "We Hongkongers" Project again to strengthen the cooperation with non-governmental organizations, civil society organizations, concern groups and professional organizations, and the results will be released in the form of mini-forums to initiate policy discussions.

This report also represents Report No. 74 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 52 under the "We Hongkongers" Project Series. The theme of this report is "Living and Working in Greater Bay Area".

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution, educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution, economic activity status distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.
Date of survey	16 April, 3pm – 21 April, 3pm
Total sample size	6,270
Response rate	6.7%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the "success rate" for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the HKPOP Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate	
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$
=	$\frac{6,270}{94,076} \times 100.0\%$
=	6.7%

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a "2 by 5 by 2 by 4 by 18 by 3 by 13" weighting procedure involving seven variables, namely, gender, age, educational attainment, economic activity status, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of gender, age, educational attainment and economic activity status would match with that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match that of the general population figures from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of "rating of Chief Executive" would match that of the general population in HKPOP's regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP's survey data.

Specifically, the gender and age groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- Male 12-29
- Male 30-39
- Male 40-49
- Male 50-59
- Male 60 or above
- Female 12-29
- Female 30-39
- Female 40-49
- Female 50-59
- Female 60 or above

The educational attainments used for weighting are as follows:

- Secondary or below
- Tertiary or above

The economic activity statuses used for weighting are as follows:

- Working population / Others
- Home-makers / Housewives
- Students
- Retired person

The districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The "rating of Chief Executive" groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Quantitative analysis results of the “We Hongkongers” Panel Survey, after applying the standard weighting procedures, are as follows, all questions listed hereby are opinion questions:

Table 3: Q1 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 16-21/4/2021


Q1 How willing or unwilling are you <u>to settle down in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?</u>	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,369)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[2] (Base=605)	Combined ^[3] (Base=5,974)
Very willing	2%	10%	7%
Somewhat willing	2%	18%	11%
Half-half	6%	28%	19%
Somewhat unwilling	16%	6%	10%
Very unwilling	74%	35%	50%
Don't know / hard to say	<1%	3%	2%
Mean^[4]	1.4	2.6	2.1

[2] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[3] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[4] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 1: Q1 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Table 4: Q2 Survey results, by camp type; Survey period: 16-21/4/2021


Q2 How willing or unwilling are you <u>to work</u> in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Pro-democracy camp supporters (Base=5,369)	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters ^[5] (Base=603)	Combined ^[6] (Base=5,972)
<p>Very willing</p> <p>Somewhat willing } Willing</p> <p>Half-half</p> <p>Somewhat unwilling</p> <p>Very unwilling } Unwilling</p> <p>Not applicable (not in the labour force)</p> <p>Don't know / hard to say</p>	<p>4%</p> <p><1%</p> <p>7%</p> <p>12%</p> <p>72%</p> <p>6%</p> <p><1%</p>	<p>14%</p> <p>18%</p> <p>18%</p> <p>9%</p> <p>31%</p> <p>8%</p> <p>1%</p>	<p>10%</p> <p>11%</p> <p>14%</p> <p>10%</p> <p>47%</p> <p>7%</p> <p>1%</p>
	<p>} 4%</p> <p></p> <p>} 84%</p>	<p>} 32%</p> <p></p> <p>} 40%</p>	<p>} 21%</p> <p></p> <p>} 58%</p>
Mean^[7]	1.4	2.7	2.2

[5] Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

[6] The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of “pan-democratic” vs “non-pan-democratic” collected in regular tracking survey.

[7] The mean value is calculated by quantifying all individual responses into 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 marks according to their degree of positive level, where 1 is the lowest and 5 the highest, and then calculate the sample mean.

Chart 2: Q2 Combined chart, by camp type



* Include: pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

^ The aggregated figures come from adjusting the by-group weighted figures using ratio of "pan-democratic" vs "non-pan-democratic" collected in regular tracking survey

Appendices

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	2,880	53.6%	1,038	46.0%	338	55.9%	1,731	50.8%	3,218	53.8%	2,769	48.9%
Female	2,485	46.2%	1,217	53.9%	265	43.8%	1,674	49.1%	2,750	46.0%	2,892	51.1%
Other	8	0.1%	1	0.1%	2	0.3%	1	<0.1%	10	0.2%	2	<0.1%
Total	5,373	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,978	100.0%	5,664	100.0%
Missing case(s)	1		<1		0		0		1		<1	

Age:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	755	14.1%	701	31.1%	40	6.6%	314	9.2%	795	13.3%	1,015	17.9%
30 - 39	1,257	23.4%	268	11.9%	116	19.2%	445	13.1%	1,373	23.0%	713	12.6%
40 - 49	1,365	25.4%	359	15.9%	149	24.6%	694	20.4%	1,514	25.3%	1,052	18.6%
50 - 59	1,258	23.4%	588	26.0%	161	26.6%	1,150	33.8%	1,419	23.7%	1,737	30.7%
60 or above	736	13.7%	341	15.1%	139	23.0%	804	23.6%	875	14.6%	1,146	20.2%
Total	5,371	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,976	100.0%	5,664	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3	0%	<1	0%	0		0		3		<1	

Education attainment:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	10	0.2%	6	0.3%	4	0.7%	13	0.4%	14	0.2%	19	0.3%
Secondary	827	15.4%	735	32.6%	129	21.4%	927	27.3%	956	16.0%	1,662	29.4%
Tertiary or above	4,530	84.4%	1,512	67.1%	470	77.9%	2,459	72.4%	5,000	83.8%	3,971	70.3%
Total	5,367	100.0%	2,253	100.0%	603	100.0%	3,399	100.0%	5,970	100.0%	5,652	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7	0%	4	0%	2		8		9		12	

Occupation:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	2,143	39.9%	293	13.0%	219	36.2%	1,021	30.0%	2,362	39.6%	1,314	23.2%
Clerks and service workers	1,441	26.8%	624	27.7%	155	25.6%	808	23.7%	1,596	26.7%	1,433	25.3%
Workers	109	2.0%	137	6.1%	17	2.8%	118	3.5%	126	2.1%	255	4.5%
Students	194	3.6%	226	10.0%	10	1.7%	171	5.0%	204	3.4%	398	7.0%
Home-makers / housewives	254	4.7%	343	15.2%	16	2.6%	208	6.1%	270	4.5%	551	9.7%
Others	1,226	22.8%	630	28.0%	188	31.1%	1,080	31.7%	1,414	23.7%	1,710	30.2%
Total	5,367	100.0%	2,253	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,972	100.0%	5,660	100.0%
Missing case(s)	7		3		0		0		7		3	

District:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	219	4.1%	68	3.1%	18	3.0%	107	3.2%	237	4.0%	175	3.1%
Wan Chai	122	2.3%	50	2.3%	16	2.7%	92	2.7%	138	2.3%	142	2.5%
Eastern	538	10.1%	122	5.5%	72	12.0%	353	10.4%	610	10.3%	475	8.5%
Southern	211	4.0%	15	0.7%	23	3.8%	130	3.8%	234	4.0%	144	2.6%
Yau Tsim Mong	222	4.2%	117	5.3%	27	4.5%	106	3.1%	249	4.2%	223	4.0%
Sham Shui Po	258	4.9%	158	7.1%	37	6.2%	138	4.1%	295	5.0%	296	5.3%
Kowloon City	295	5.6%	58	2.6%	32	5.3%	271	8.0%	327	5.5%	328	5.9%
Wong Tai Sin	268	5.0%	111	5.0%	30	5.0%	111	3.3%	298	5.0%	222	4.0%
Kwun Tong	392	7.4%	251	11.4%	48	8.0%	370	10.9%	440	7.4%	621	11.1%
Tsuen Wan	278	5.2%	127	5.8%	37	6.2%	176	5.2%	315	5.3%	303	5.4%
Tuen Mun	317	6.0%	229	10.4%	36	6.0%	179	5.3%	353	6.0%	408	7.3%
Yuen Long	345	6.5%	169	7.7%	46	7.7%	384	11.3%	391	6.6%	554	9.9%
North	154	2.9%	28	1.3%	20	3.3%	128	3.8%	174	2.9%	157	2.8%
Tai Po	228	4.3%	181	8.2%	28	4.7%	190	5.6%	256	4.3%	370	6.6%
Sai Kung	424	8.0%	108	4.9%	36	6.0%	222	6.6%	460	7.8%	330	5.9%
Sha Tin	637	12.0%	225	10.2%	60	10.0%	204	6.0%	697	11.8%	429	7.7%
Kwai Tsing	291	5.5%	154	7.0%	24	4.0%	152	4.5%	315	5.3%	305	5.4%
Islands	110	2.1%	40	1.8%	10	1.7%	79	2.3%	120	2.0%	119	2.1%
Total	5,309	100.0%	2,210	100.0%	600	100.0%	3,392	100.0%	5,909	100.0%	5,602	100.0%
Missing case(s)	65		47		5		15		70		62	

Political inclination:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democracy camp	3,091	57.5%	1,476	65.4%	0	0%	0	0%	3,091	51.7%	1,476	26.1%
Pro-establishment camp	0	0%	0	0%	36	6.0%	458	13.4%	36	0.6%	458	8.1%
Localist	2,283	42.5%	780	34.6%	0	0%	0	0%	2,283	38.2%	780	13.8%
Centrist	0	0%	0	0%	198	32.7%	1,012	29.7%	198	3.3%	1,012	17.9%
Others	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	0	0%	0	0%	371	61.3%	1,937	56.9%	371	6.2%	1,937	34.2%
Don't know / hard to say	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
Total	5,374	100.0%	2,257	100.0%	605	100.0%	3,407	100.0%	5,979	100.0%	5,664	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		0		0		0		0	

Voted political camp:	Pro-democracy camp supporters				Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #				Total			
	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	5,078	94.8%	1,097	48.6%	373	61.8%	529	15.6%	5,451	91.5%	1,627	28.8%
Non-democratic	13	0.2%	65	2.9%	109	18.0%	1,618	47.8%	122	2.0%	1,684	29.9%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	181	3.4%	572	25.4%	89	14.7%	887	26.2%	270	4.5%	1,459	25.9%
Not a registered voter	82	1.5%	521	23.1%	33	5.5%	350	10.3%	115	1.9%	871	15.4%
Total	5,354	100.0%	2,256	100.0%	604	100.0%	3,385	100.0%	5,958	100.0%	5,641	100.0%

*(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age)

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 2: Contact Information

Total valid samples	6,270
Survey period	16-21/4/2021
Success rate	6.7%
Questionnaires sent out	94,076
Questionnaires received	6,874
Ineligible samples	14
Invalid samples	376
Incomplete samples	214
Standard error	0.6%
Sampling error	3.8%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses

Q1 Survey results; Survey period: 16/4-21/4/2021

Q1 How willing or unwilling are you to settle down in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Pro-democracy camp supporters	Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #	Total
	Percentages (Base=2,254)	Percentages (Base=3,407)	Percentages (Base=5,661)
Very willing	2.1%	10.4%	7.1%
Somewhat willing } Willing	1.9% } 4.0%	17.5% } 27.9%	11.3% } 18.4%
Half-half	5.8%	28.3%	19.3%
Somewhat unwilling } Unwilling	16.2% } 90.2%	6.3% } 41.1%	10.3% } 60.6%
Very unwilling	74.0%	34.8%	50.4%
Don't know / hard to say	0.0%	2.7%	1.6%
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Mean values	1.4	2.6	2.1
Standard error of mean	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1
Median	1.0	3.0	1.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>	3	0	3
Net value (Willing- Unwilling)	-86.2%	-13.2%	-42.3%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Q2 Survey results; Survey period: 16/4-21/4/2021

Q2 How willing or unwilling are you to work in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?	Pro-democracy camp supporters		Non-pro-democracy camp supporters #		Total	
	Percentages		Percentages		Percentages	
	(Base=2,257)		(Base=3,392)		(Base=5,649)	
Very willing	3.9%		13.7%		9.8%	
Somewhat willing	0.1%	}4.0%	18.5%	}32.1%	11.1%	}20.9%
Half-half		6.5%		18.3%		13.6%
Somewhat unwilling	12.1%		9.0%		10.2%	
Very unwilling	71.6%	}83.7%	31.2%	}40.2%	47.4%	}57.6%
Not applicable (not in the labour force)		5.8%		8.4%		7.4%
Don't know / hard to say		0.0%		0.9%		0.6%
Total		100.0%		100.0%		100.0%
Mean values		1.4		2.7		2.2
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1		<0.1
Median		1.0		3.0		1.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>		<1		15		15
Net value (Willing- Unwilling)		-79.7%		-8.1%		-36.7%

Including pro-establishment camp supporters, centrist supporters, no political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp

Appendix 4: Survey questionnaire (16/4-21/4/2021)

HKPORI: We Hongkongers Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral, we welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again at any time to express your latest views. When we need to work on the data, your last submission will be used. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk.

Last updated: xxxx-xx-xx xx:xx

Tentative next update: xxxx-xx-xx

*Required

(Registered member) Panel ID: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled ID)

(Registered member) Invitation token: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled token)

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at

<https://www.pori.hk/panel.html?lang=en> *

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

Eligibility Confirmation

(New member)

Email used / will be used in registration: *

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

We Hongkongers

How willing or unwilling are you to settle down in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?

- Very willing
- Somewhat willing
- Half-half
- Somewhat unwilling
- Very unwilling
- Don't know / hard to say

How willing or unwilling are you to work in the Greater Bay Area outside Hong Kong and Macau?

- Not applicable (not in the labour force)
- Very willing
- Somewhat willing
- Half-half
- Somewhat unwilling
- Very unwilling
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health**How likely do you think it is that you will contract novel coronavirus pneumonia over the next one month?**

(Please select the closest answer. If you have another answer, please fill in the last item.)

- 0% chance (Certainly not)
- 0.001% chance (1 in 100,000)
- 0.01% chance (1 in 10,000)
- 0.1% chance (1 in 1,000)
- 1% chance (1 in 100)
- 5% chance (1 in 20)
- 10% chance (1 in 10)
- 15% chance
- 20% chance
- 25% chance
- 30% chance
- 35% chance
- 40% chance
- 45% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Community Health**Do you think the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places should be completely lifted unconditionally in Hong Kong?**

- Yes, the ban should be lifted unconditionally → [Skip questions related to this regulation](#)
- No, it should depend on the epidemic situation
- Don't know / hard to say

Community Health

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 2 people?

[The pandemic should be at its worst; For reference, there are 6 newly confirmed cases on April 15, 2021]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 4 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 8 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

How many newly confirmed cases each day should there be before it would be appropriate to prohibit gatherings of more than 16 people?

[The number of newly confirmed cases should be fewer than that in the previous question]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 99999)

Please list combinations of [number of cases & number of people allowed in gatherings] that you think is appropriate in the field below:

Other Opinions

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purposes]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 8888)

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current political condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current economic condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Generally speaking, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the current livelihood condition in Hong Kong?

- Very much satisfied
- Somewhat satisfied
- Half-half
- Somewhat dissatisfied
- Very much dissatisfied
- Don't know / hard to say

Other Opinions

Do you have any survey question to suggest for our surveys?

(If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Do you have any message you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other locally known persons you name here? (Please provide their identities and your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study. We simply want to assist people who want to send a message to current or former District Councillor, new or senior community leaders, persons in charge of community organisations, and so on. If you do not have any suggestion, please leave this space blank.)

Personal Information (Registered member)

The following section is about personal information, including: gender, age, educational attainment, occupation, political inclination, area of residence, voting behaviour in the 2019 District Council Election. If you have already provided relevant information in the previous PopPanel survey series and have nothing to add or update, you may skip these questions. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for analysis.

- I have already provided my personal information, and has nothing to add or update (skip questions on personal information)
- Provide / update personal information now

Personal Information**Gender**

- Male
- Female
- Other

Age

Age range

(If prefer not to provide exact age)

- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- Primary or below
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Area of residence

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk or call us at 3844 3111 during office hours.