



HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所

Hong Kong Public Opinion Program of Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute

PopPanel Research Report No. 20 cum Community Democracy Project Research Report No. 17 cum Community Health Project Research Report No. 13

Survey Date: 5 May to 7 May 2020

Release Date: 8 May 2020

Copyright of this report was generated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Program (HKPOP) and opened to the world. HKPOP proactively promotes open data, open technology and the free flow of ideas, knowledge and information. The predecessor of HKPOP was the Public Opinion Programme at The University of Hong Kong (HKUPOP). "POP" in this publication may refer to HKPOP or HKUPOP as the case may be.

Research Background

Initiated by the Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute (HKPORI), the “Community Integration through Cooperation and Democracy, CICD” Project (or the “Community Democracy Project”) aims to provide a means for Hongkongers to re-integrate ourselves through mutual respect, rational deliberations, civilized discussions, personal empathy, social integration, and when needed, resolution of conflicts through democratic means. It is the rebuilding of our Hong Kong society starting from the community level following the spirit of science and democracy. For details, please visit: <https://www.pori.hk/cicd>.

The surveys of Community Democracy (CD) Project officially started on 3 January 2020, targeting members of “HKPOP Panel” established by HKPORI in July 2019, including “Hong Kong People Representative Panel” (Probability-based Panel) and “Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel” (Non-probability-based Panel). This report also represents Report No. 20 under HKPOP Panel survey series, as well as Report No. 17 under the CD Project survey series. Since CD Project aims to gauge the opinions of members in different communities, HKPORI needs to continuously recruit new panel members and map their profile with their respective constituency areas to form “HKPOP Community Panel” including “Community Representative Panel” and “Community Volunteer Panel” first, and then conduct the research.

In view of the outbreak of Novel Coronavirus (also known as “Wuhan Pneumonia”) in late January 2020, HKPOP kick-started the “Community Democracy Project: Community Health Module” or “Community Health Project” using a PopPanel survey design to study the public’s views on the epidemic and the Government’s relevant policies using small communities such as housing estates as the unit of analysis. The survey covers people’s views on their perceived chance of contracting pneumonia, appraisal on the government’s handling of the disease, impacts of the border closure, calls for strike by frontline medical staff, community outbreak, work-from-home arrangement, immigration controls, general use of face masks as well as the government’s implementation of relevant policies. This is the Report No. 13 under the Community Health Project survey series. The special feature of the Community Health Project is the lowering of respondents’ age bracket to 12 years old, in order to obtain more comprehensive samples.

HKPOP sent out emails to all panel members at the beginning of the survey, inviting them to fill in the questionnaire at the designated online platform. Members were allowed to make repeated submissions, while only the last submission of each individual member would be used for analysis.

Contact Information

Herewith the contact information of this Community Democracy survey:

Table 1: Detailed Contact Information

Survey method	Online survey	
Target population	HKPOP Panel samples, namely Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Probability-based Panel) and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Non-probability-based Panel)	
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) overall voting results, overall voter population and gender-age breakdown from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.	
Date of survey	May 5, 3pm – May 7, 3pm	
Total sample size	Probability-based Panel: 758	Non-probability-based Panel: 7,343
Response rate	Probability-based Panel: 9.7%	Non-probability-based Panel: 11.1%
Sampling error ^[1]	Sampling error of percentages at +/-3% at 95% confidence level	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level

[1] All error figures in this release are calculated at 95% confidence level. “95% confidence level” means that if we were to repeat a certain survey 100 times with different random samples, we would expect 95 times having the population parameter within the respective error margins calculated. Because of sampling errors, when quoting percentages, journalists should refrain from reporting decimal places, whereas one decimal place can be used when quoting rating figures.

PopPanel Composition

Regarding data collection, survey data from both the Hong Kong People Representative Panel and Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel were collected in the form of online questionnaire.

Among them, the Hong Kong People Representative Panel comes from members of the “HKPOP Panel” recruited in regular random telephone surveys. HKPOP uses “HKPOP Panel” as a framework for conducting surveys for different research projects, any eligible family member in the household may be invited to participate in a specific research.

Meanwhile, members of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel are recruited online. Citizens only need to self-register in HKPORI website to participate in online questionnaires.

All panel data collected will be adjusted using rim-weighting, to minimize the effects of self-selection bias or participation bias. Details are documented in the Weighting Procedure section.

Response Rate

HKPOP adopts a set of contact definition in compliance with most international standards. Historically, the social research community in Hong Kong has developed its own set of contact rates, cooperation rates, response rates, and so on. HKPOP normally reports the “success rate” for online surveys.

The calculation of the success rates in this study refers to the following tables.

Table 2: Calculation of success rate of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Representative Panel}} \times 100.0\%$	
=	$\frac{758}{7,852} \times 100.0\%$	
=	9.7%	

Table 3: Calculation of success rate of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (by HKPOP definition)

Success rate		
=	$\frac{\text{Successful cases}}{\text{Panel size of the Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel}} \times 100.0\%$	
=	$\frac{7,343}{66,279} \times 100.0\%$	
=	11.1%	

Weighting Procedure

HKPOP has continuously adopted and enhanced its weighting method over the past few decades. For this survey, HKPOP adopts a “18 by 3 by 10” weighting procedure involving three one-way variables, namely, district (18 cells), voting record (3 cells) and rating of Chief Executive (13 cells). Basically, the raw data of practically all random telephone surveys conducted by HKPOP are rim-weighted by the figures obtained from the Hong Kong Census and Statistics Department and/or Registration and Electoral Office so that the marginal distribution of the sample in terms of district and voting record would match with that of the general population. The marginal distribution of the sample in terms of “rating of Chief Executive” would match that of the general population in HKPOP’s regular tracking surveys. This rim-weighting method (sometimes called raking) is found to be the most practicable method in processing HKPOP’s survey data.

Specifically, the districts used for weighting are as follows:

- Central and Western
- Wan Chai
- Eastern
- Southern
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

The voting records used for weighting are as follows:

- Candidates of pro-democracy camp
- Candidates of non-pro-democracy camp
- Did not vote / blank/void vote

The “rating of Chief Executive” groupings used for weighting are as follows:

- 0 mark
- 1-9 mark(s)
- 10-19 marks
- 20-29 marks
- 30-39 marks
- 40-49 marks
- 50 marks
- 51-60 marks
- 61-70 marks
- 71-80 marks
- 81-90 marks
- 91-99 marks
- 100 marks

Quantitative Analysis Results

Results of the quantitative analyses of the Community Health survey are as follows:

Table 4: Q1 Survey results, by panel type; Survey period: 5–7/5/2020

Opinion Question*	Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Base=755)			Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Base=7,307)		
	Don't know / hard to say	Try to predict	Average	Don't know / hard to say	Try to predict	Average
Q1 What do you think is your chance of being infected with novel coronavirus pneumonia , also known as Wuhan pneumonia, in the coming one month?	26%	74%	17%	21%	79%	15%

* Answer options included: 0-10 rating scale, others, and don't know / hard to say

Table 5: Q2 Survey results, by panel type; Survey period: 5–7/5/2020

Opinion Question*	Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Base=758)				Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Base=7,334)			
	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean	Satisfied	Half-half	Dissatisfied	Mean
Q2 How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia ?	38%	8%	54%	2.5	30%	11%	60%	2.4

* Answer options included: very much satisfied, somewhat satisfied, half-half, somewhat dissatisfied, very much dissatisfied and don't know / hard to say

Table 6: Q3 Survey results, by panel type; Survey period: 5–7/5/2020

Opinion Question*	Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Base=758)				Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Base=7,340)			
	Support	Half-half	Oppose	Mean	Support	Half-half	Oppose	Mean
Q3 Overall speaking, how much do you support or oppose these measures [^] ?	61%	27%	9%	3.8	56%	28%	13%	3.7

* Answer options included: very much support, somewhat support, half-half, somewhat oppose, very much oppose and don't know / hard to say

[^] The new measures announced by the government on May 5 afternoon.

Table 7: Q4 Survey results, by panel type; Survey period: 5–7/5/2020

Opinion Question*	Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Base=755)				Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Base=7,313)			
	Too early	Just in time	Too late	Don't know / hard to say	Too early	Just in time	Too late	Don't know / hard to say
Q4 Do you think these measures [^] come too early, just in time or too late?	9%	62%	19%	10%	11%	55%	25%	9%

* Answer options included: too early, just in time, too late and don't know / hard to say

[^] The new measures announced by the government on May 5 afternoon.

Table 8: Q5 Survey results, by panel type; Survey period: 5-7/5/2020

Opinion Question*	Hong Kong People Representative Panel (Base=758)				Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel (Base=7,332)			
	Too strict	Just right	Too loose	Don't know / hard to say	Too strict	Just right	Too loose	Don't know / hard to say
Q5 Do you think these measures [^] are too strict, just right or too loose?	19%	66%	7%	9%	22%	58%	10%	11%

* Answer options included: too strict, just right, too loose and don't know / hard to say

[^] The new measures announced by the government on May 5 afternoon.

Qualitative Analysis Results

Question: “Do you have other comments on the government’s implementation of these measures?”

A total of 2,492 open-ended answers were collected and the views of respondents are summarized as follows:

Respondents’ views	Related keywords (Number of times)
<p>(1) The prohibition on group gathering might have slipped into political tools: Many respondents think that the prohibition on group gathering is meant for "political suppression", for example, to restrict people's freedom of assembly; and there are also concerns about unfair enforcement of this regulation.</p>	<p>Suppression (333) Politics (259) Police (168) Abuse (100) Unfair enforcement (99) Rallies (99) Selective enforcement (66)</p>
<p>(2) The new measures are not implemented on a timely manner: There are quite a number of views that the implementation of new measure are “too late”, criticizing the government “knows in hindsight”, and the measures are not effective.</p>	<p>Late (92) Slow (74) Know in hindsight (36) Too long (2)</p>
<p>(3) The new measures are not supported by scientific evidence and not reasonable: Some comments are that the new measures lack scientific support (e.g. rising the limit of group gathering from 4 to 8 people, and requiring at least 1.5 meters between tables in restaurants). There are also views that the prohibition on group gathering are unreasonable, for example, taking public transport also involves high risk of infection, but it is not included.</p>	<p>Scientific basis (114) Rationale (13) Unreasonable (13) Unrealistic (5)</p>
<p>(4) The border closure is of paramount importance: There are quite a number of views that the implementation of border closure is the most important to stop the spread of the epidemic, which would be more effective than prohibition on group gathering.</p>	<p>Border closure (194) Immigration (56) Gate (39)</p>

<p>(5) Relaxation or flexibility should be allowed under special circumstances: Some mentioned that under certain preconditions, such as universal masking or strict implementation of the quarantine measures, the prohibition on group gathering should be further relaxed or lifted. There are also comments that the closure or restriction of certain venues should be entitled to some flexibility according to the actual situation, for example, the restriction on restaurants could be relaxed, but beauty parlours/ fitness centres/ mahjong premises/ amusement game centres should be handled with caution.</p>	<p>The prohibition on group gathering (603) Cancellation (182) Relaxation (127) Discharge (25) Flexibility (3) Withdrawal (4)</p> <p>Restaurants (32) Bars (30) Beauty parlours (17) Mahjong premises (41) Fitness centres (19) Amusement game centres (13)</p>
<p>(6) Economic crackdown: There are a few comments that the measures have dealt a blow to the local economy, and hope the government could provide financial support to merchants or affected employees.</p>	<p>Economy (40) Livelihood (4) Hitting businesses (1)</p>

Appendix

Appendix 1: Demographic profile of respondents

Gender:

Hong Kong People Representative Panel

Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Male	432	57.0%	357	47.1%	3,338	45.5%	3,458	47.1%
Female	326	43.0%	401	52.9%	4,005	54.5%	3,885	52.9%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,343	100.0%	7,343	100.0%

Age:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
12 - 29	73	9.7%	152	20.2%	966	13.2%	1,478	20.2%
30 - 39	151	20.0%	118	15.6%	1,922	26.2%	1,145	15.6%
40 - 49	170	22.5%	123	16.3%	2,142	29.2%	1,197	16.3%
50 - 59	213	28.2%	140	18.6%	1,604	21.9%	1,363	18.6%
60 or above	148	19.6%	221	29.2%	690	9.4%	2,142	29.2%
Total	755	100.0%	755	100.0%	7,324	100.0%	7,324	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0	0%	0	0%	19		19	

Education attainment:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Primary or below	1	0.1%	<1	<0.1%	17	0.2%	8	0.1%
Secondary	192	25.3%	217	28.6%	1,105	15.1%	1,374	18.7%
Tertiary or above	565	74.5%	541	71.4%	6,217	84.7%	5,960	81.2%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,339	100.0%	7,342	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0	0%	0	0%	4		1	

Occupation:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Administrators and professionals	273	36.2%	213	28.1%	3,206	43.8%	2,722	37.3%
Clerks and service workers	183	24.2%	182	24.1%	1,986	27.1%	1,449	19.8%
Workers	16	2.1%	13	1.7%	143	2.0%	111	1.5%
Students	22	2.9%	67	8.8%	225	3.1%	499	6.8%
Home-makers / housewives	45	6.0%	34	4.5%	392	5.4%	352	4.8%
Others	216	28.6%	248	32.8%	1,372	18.7%	2,171	29.7%
Total	755	100.0%	755	100.0%	7,324	100.0%	7,304	100.0%
Missing case(s)	3		3		19		39	

District:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Central & Western	25	3.3%	25	3.3%	271	3.7%	241	3.3%
Wan Chai	15	2.0%	19	2.5%	170	2.3%	180	2.5%
Eastern	75	9.9%	56	7.4%	687	9.4%	542	7.4%
Southern	27	3.6%	27	3.6%	308	4.2%	262	3.6%
Yau Tsim Mong	28	3.7%	34	4.4%	273	3.7%	325	4.4%
Sham Shui Po	33	4.4%	42	5.5%	352	4.8%	407	5.5%
Kowloon City	56	7.4%	43	5.7%	409	5.6%	414	5.6%
Wong Tai Sin	39	5.1%	43	5.7%	409	5.6%	418	5.7%
Kwun Tong	60	7.9%	70	9.2%	523	7.1%	677	9.2%
Tsuen Wan	38	5.0%	52	6.8%	374	5.1%	498	6.8%
Tuen Mun	51	6.7%	32	4.2%	491	6.7%	308	4.2%
Yuen Long	60	7.9%	51	6.7%	480	6.5%	490	6.7%
North	17	2.2%	65	8.6%	241	3.3%	634	8.6%
Tai Po	41	5.4%	32	4.2%	380	5.2%	307	4.2%
Sai Kung	59	7.8%	31	4.1%	632	8.6%	306	4.2%
Sha Tin	85	11.2%	70	9.3%	814	11.1%	683	9.3%
Kwai Tsing	39	5.1%	48	6.4%	407	5.5%	470	6.4%
Islands	10	1.3%	19	2.5%	122	1.7%	181	2.5%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,343	100.0%	7,343	100.0%

(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age) Are you a registered voter:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Yes	745	98.3%	661	87.2%	7,231	98.7%	6,508	88.8%
No	13	1.7%	97	12.8%	95	1.3%	818	11.2%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,326	100.0%	7,326	100.0%

(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age) Political inclination:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Pro-democratic camp	357	47.1%	173	22.8%	3,948	54.0%	2,071	28.3%
Pro-establishment camp	21	2.8%	51	6.7%	29	0.4%	455	6.2%
Localist	168	22.2%	105	13.9%	2,484	34.0%	1,345	18.4%
Centrist	66	8.7%	93	12.2%	251	3.4%	1,015	13.9%
Others	5	0.7%	6	0.8%	65	0.9%	107	1.5%
No political inclination / politically neutral / don't belong to any camp	130	17.2%	293	38.7%	398	5.4%	1,904	26.0%
Don't know / hard to say	11	1.5%	37	4.9%	140	1.9%	421	5.7%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,315	100.0%	7,318	100.0%
Missing case(s)	0		0		11		8	

(Only for respondents aged 18 or above or refuse to answer age) Voted political camp:

	Raw sample		Weighted sample		Raw sample		Weighted sample	
	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%	Freq	%
Democratic	605	79.8%	199	26.2%	6,793	92.7%	1,921	26.2%
Non-democratic	80	10.6%	260	34.3%	132	1.8%	1,995	27.2%
Did not vote / blank vote / void vote / don't know / hard to say / refuse to answer	60	7.9%	202	26.7%	306	4.2%	2,593	35.4%
Not a registered voter	13	1.7%	97	12.8%	95	1.3%	818	11.2%
Total	758	100.0%	758	100.0%	7,326	100.0%	7,326	100.0%

Appendix 2: Contact Information

	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel
Total valid samples	758	7,343
Survey period	5-7/5/2020	5-7/5/2020
Success rate	9.7%	11.1%
Questionnaires sent out	7,852	66,279
Questionnaires received	782	7,662
Ineligible samples	2	73
Invalid samples	14	212
Incomplete samples	8	34
Standard error	1.8%	0.6%
Sampling error	3.6%	1.1%

Appendix 3: Quantitative analyses, by panel groups

Q1. What do you think is your chance of being infected with the Coronavirus disease, also known as Wuhan pneumonia, in the coming one month?	Hong Kong People Representative Panel		Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	
	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=749)	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=7,302)
Certainly not	154	20.5%	1,485	20.3%
10% chance	213	28.4%	2,357	32.3%
20% chance }Small chance	41 }484	5.5% }64.6%	744 }5,212	10.2% }71.4%
30% chance	50	6.7%	420	5.7%
40% chance	26	3.4%	207	2.8%
50% chance	55	7.4%	316	4.3%
60% chance	5	0.7%	88	1.2%
70% chance	3	0.4%	20	0.3%
80% chance }Large chance	2 }12	0.3% }1.6%	40 }172	0.5% }2.4%
90% chance	<1	0.1%	4	0.1%
100% chance (Certainly will)	1	0.1%	21	0.3%
Others	6	0.9%	44	0.6%
Don't know / hard to say	192	25.6%	1,558	21.3%
Total	749	100.0%	7,302	100.0%
Mean		16.5%		15.3%
Standard error of mean		0.8%		0.2%
Median		10.0%		10.0%
Refuse to answer		9		41

Q2. How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?	Hong Kong People Representative Panel		Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	
	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=758)	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=7,322)
Very much satisfied	75	9.9%	911	12.4%
Somewhat satisfied	214	28.3%	1,253	17.1%
Half-half	60	7.9%	788	10.8%
Somewhat dissatisfied	67	8.9%	975	13.3%
Very much dissatisfied	341	45.0%	3,395	46.4%
Don't know / hard to say	1	0.1%	1	0.0%
Total	758	100.0%	7,322	100.0%
Mean values		2.5		2.4
Standard error of mean		0.1		<0.1
Median		2.0		2.0
Refuse to answer		0		21
Net value (Satisfied- Dissatisfied)		-15.8%		-30.1%

Q3. Overall speaking, how much do you support or oppose these measures?	Hong Kong People Representative Panel		Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	
	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=758)	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=7,327)
Very much support	216	28.6%	2,015	27.5%
Somewhat support	246	32.4%	2,107	28.8%
Half-half	204	27.0%	2,067	28.2%
Somewhat oppose	35	4.6%	579	7.9%
Very much oppose	35	4.6%	350	4.8%
Don't know / hard to say	22	2.9%	209	2.8%
Total	758	100.0%	7,327	100.0%
Mean values		3.8		3.7
Standard error of mean		<0.1		<0.1
Median		4.0		4.0
<i>Refuse to answer</i>		0		16
Net value (Support- Oppose)		+51.8%		+43.6%

Q4. Do you think these measures come too early, just in time or too late?	Hong Kong People Representative Panel		Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	
	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=756)	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=7,304)
Too early	69	9.1%	775	10.6%
Just in time	468	62.0%	4,006	54.9%
Too late	141	18.7%	1,845	25.3%
Don't know / hard to say	77	10.2%	678	9.3%
Total	756	100.0%	7,304	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>		2		39

Q5. Do you think these measures are too strict, just right or too loose?	Hong Kong People Representative Panel		Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	
	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=758)	Frequencies	Percentages (Base=7,341)
Too strict	141	18.6%	1,606	21.9%
Just right	498	65.7%	4,221	57.5%
Too loose	50	6.7%	717	9.8%
Don't know / hard to say	68	9.0%	796	10.8%
Total	758	100.0%	7,341	100.0%
<i>Refuse to answer</i>		0		2

Appendix 4: Sample count for each constituency (452 in total)

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
1	Sha Tin	R04	City One	4	50	54
2	Sai Kung	Q09	Wai King	1	50	51
3	Kwun Tong	J27	Laguna City	5	44	49
4	Kowloon City	G07	Ho Man Tin	8	39	47
5	Wong Tai Sin	H06	Lung Sing	3	43	46
6	Kwai Tsing	S24	Greenfield	4	41	45
7	Eastern	C08	Heng Fa Chuen	2	42	44
7	Sha Tin	R29	Wu Kai Sha	7	37	44
7	Tuen Mun	L31	Prime View	6	38	44
10	Tsuen Wan	K12	Tsuen Wan Rural	2	40	42
10	Kwun Tong	J28	King Tin	6	36	42
12	Kwun Tong	J40	To Tai	3	38	41
12	Sai Kung	Q28	Wan Po North	6	35	41
14	Sha Tin	R28	Ma On Shan Town Centre	4	36	40
15	Sai Kung	Q13	O Tong	3	36	39
16	Tsuen Wan	K05	Tsuen Wan West	6	31	37
16	Sham Shui Po	F15	Mei Foo South	0	37	37
16	Sham Shui Po	F17	Mei Foo North	6	31	37
16	Tuen Mun	L07	Tsui Hing	1	36	37

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
16	Southern	D12	Chi Fu	2	35	37
21	Tsuen Wan	K04	Hoi Bun	5	31	36
21	Eastern	C01	Tai Koo Shing West	4	32	36
21	Yuen Long	M35	Fairview Park	9	27	36
21	Tai Po	P18	Shuen Wan	3	33	36
25	Eastern	C17	Fortress Hill	6	29	35
25	North	N07	Fanling South	1	34	35
25	Tsuen Wan	K08	Discovery Park	3	32	35
25	Southern	D01	Aberdeen	1	34	35
25	Central & Western	A05	University	3	32	35
25	Sai Kung	Q21	Wan Hang	2	33	35
31	Tai Po	P19	Sai Kung North	1	33	34
32	Eastern	C02	Tai Koo Shing East	1	32	33
32	Sha Tin	R22	Sui Wo	3	30	33
32	Sha Tin	R20	Tai Wai	2	31	33
32	Sai Kung	Q10	Hoi Chun	2	31	33
32	Tuen Mun	L04	Siu Tsui	4	29	33
32	Sha Tin	R24	Chun Ma	4	29	33
32	Sha Tin	R38	Di Yee	2	31	33
32	Eastern	C25	Nam Fung	5	28	33

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
32	Sha Tin	R01	Sha Tin Town Centre	2	31	33
41	Eastern	C26	Kornhill	6	26	32
41	Kowloon City	G20	Whampoa West	3	29	32
41	Kowloon City	G08	Kadoorie	6	26	32
41	Kwun Tong	J04	Lai Ching	3	29	32
41	Sai Kung	Q26	Nam On	2	30	32
41	Tuen Mun	L14	Hanford	4	28	32
41	Wong Tai Sin	H24	Chi Choi	4	28	32
41	Tuen Mun	L01	Tuen Mun Town Centre	2	30	32
49	Sha Tin	R06	Wong Uk	3	28	31
49	Southern	D06	South Horizons East	4	27	31
49	Tsuen Wan	K13	Ting Sham	3	28	31
52	Yuen Long	M27	Kingswood North	1	29	30
52	Eastern	C04	Sai Wan Ho	1	29	30
54	Tsuen Wan	K10	Luk Yeung	2	27	29
54	Sai Kung	Q27	Kwan Po	4	25	29
54	Tai Po	P16	Old Market & Serenity	5	24	29
54	Sai Kung	Q20	Wai Yan	2	27	29
54	Kowloon City	G19	Whampoa East	6	23	29
54	Tai Po	P09	Wang Fuk	3	26	29

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
60	Sha Tin	R37	Yu Yan	3	25	28
60	Sham Shui Po	F13	Lai Chi Kok Central	2	26	28
60	Islands	T04	Tung Chung South	2	26	28
60	Sham Shui Po	F16	Mei Foo Central	1	27	28
60	Sha Tin	R23	Fo Tan	3	25	28
60	Sha Tin	R39	Bik Woo	4	24	28
60	Yau Tsim Mong	E11	Tai Kok Tsui North	2	26	28
60	Sai Kung	Q12	Fu Kwan	2	26	28
60	Tai Po	P10	Tai Po Kau	1	27	28
60	Yau Tsim Mong	E05	Charming	3	25	28
60	Wong Tai Sin	H17	Ching Oi	4	24	28
60	Eastern	C03	Lei King Wan	4	24	28
72	Wong Tai Sin	H20	King Fu	3	24	27
72	Eastern	C16	Braemar Hill	3	24	27
72	Eastern	C19	Provident	3	24	27
72	Kowloon City	G03	Ma Hang Chung	4	23	27
72	North	N01	Luen Wo Hui	1	26	27
72	Tsuen Wan	K11	Ma Wan	0	27	27
78	Yuen Long	M22	Kingswood South	2	24	26
78	Southern	D07	South Horizons West	1	25	26

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
78	Yuen Long	M04	Yuen Lung	4	22	26
78	Eastern	C21	Kam Ping	3	23	26
78	Sha Tin	R25	Hoi Nam	4	22	26
78	Sha Tin	R27	Kam To	1	25	26
84	Sha Tin	R05	Yue Shing	3	22	25
84	Sai Kung	Q29	Wan Po South	1	24	25
84	Yuen Long	M03	Fung Cheung	6	19	25
84	Southern	D03	Ap Lei Chau North	0	25	25
84	Sha Tin	R32	Kam Ying	3	22	25
84	Tsuen Wan	K03	Tsuen Wan South	2	23	25
84	Eastern	C10	Yan Lam	3	22	25
84	Tai Po	P13	Lam Tsuen Valley	4	21	25
84	Tai Po	P12	San Fu	2	23	25
84	Sha Tin	R33	Yiu On	3	22	25
84	Central & Western	A11	Sai Ying Pun	3	22	25
95	Tuen Mun	L02	Siu Chi	1	23	24
95	Kwun Tong	J30	Hiu Lai	6	18	24
95	Tuen Mun	L27	Siu Hong	3	21	24
95	Sham Shui Po	F24	Yau Yat Tsuen	0	24	24
95	Eastern	C06	Shau Kei Wan	3	21	24

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
95	Kowloon City	G01	Ma Tau Wai	3	21	24
95	Wong Tai Sin	H05	Fung Tak	1	23	24
102	Sai Kung	Q19	Yan Ying	6	17	23
102	Tsuen Wan	K14	Lai To	4	19	23
102	Wan Chai	B05	Victoria Park	1	22	23
102	Kowloon City	G09	Prince	2	21	23
102	Tuen Mun	L16	Siu Hei	6	17	23
102	Kwai Tsing	S18	Cho Yiu	1	22	23
102	Sai Kung	Q16	Hong King	2	21	23
102	Yuen Long	M39	Pat Heung South	0	23	23
102	Yau Tsim Mong	E08	Olympic	0	23	23
102	Yuen Long	M09	Yuen Long Tung Tau	3	20	23
102	Sai Kung	Q05	Hang Hau West	0	23	23
102	Sai Kung	Q02	Pak Sha Wan	0	23	23
102	Eastern	C18	City Garden	2	21	23
102	Kowloon City	G21	Hung Hom Bay	2	21	23
116	Tai Po	P14	Po Nga	3	19	22
116	Yuen Long	M06	Shui Pin	2	20	22
116	Sai Kung	Q18	Po Lam	2	20	22
116	Tai Po	P17	Hong Lok Yuen	0	22	22

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
116	Kwun Tong	J19	Lam Tin	3	19	22
116	Central & Western	A09	Belcher	1	21	22
116	Yau Tsim Mong	E17	East Tsim Sha Tsui & King's Park	2	20	22
116	Sai Kung	Q15	Kwong Ming	0	22	22
116	Eastern	C05	Aldrich Bay	3	19	22
116	Tai Po	P15	Tai Wo	1	21	22
116	Eastern	C20	Fort Street	0	22	22
116	Eastern	C12	Fullview	0	22	22
116	Kowloon City	G10	Kowloon Tong	3	19	22
129	Kwai Tsing	S23	Tsing Yi Estate	1	20	21
129	Yuen Long	M13	Ping Shan South	3	18	21
129	Sai Kung	Q24	Fu Nam	1	20	21
129	Yuen Long	M37	Kam Tin	2	19	21
129	Tuen Mun	L19	Fu Sun	2	19	21
129	Sha Tin	R26	Chung On	3	18	21
129	Central & Western	A15	Water Street	1	20	21
129	Wong Tai Sin	H12	Tin Keung	2	19	21
129	Kwun Tong	J32	Yuet Wah	0	21	21
129	Yau Tsim Mong	E04	Yau Ma Tei South	4	17	21
129	Eastern	C24	Quarry Bay	3	18	21

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
129	Southern	D15	Wong Chuk Hang	2	19	21
129	Sai Kung	Q08	Do Shin	3	18	21
129	Tai Po	P03	Tai Po Central	1	20	21
129	Islands	T05	Tung Chung Central	3	18	21
129	Kowloon City	G02	Sung Wong Toi	1	20	21
129	Sai Kung	Q03	Sai Kung Islands	2	19	21
129	Wong Tai Sin	H19	Tsz Wan East	1	20	21
147	Sha Tin	R11	Chun Fung	0	20	20
147	Yau Tsim Mong	E14	Mong Kok East	1	19	20
147	Wong Tai Sin	H07	San Po Kong	2	18	20
147	Sai Kung	Q04	Hang Hau East	0	20	20
147	Sai Kung	Q25	Tak Ming	2	18	20
147	Eastern	C27	Kornhill Garden	1	19	20
147	Wan Chai	B04	Causeway Bay	3	17	20
147	Sham Shui Po	F02	Cheung Sha Wan	2	18	20
147	Kwai Tsing	S17	Lai Wah	3	17	20
147	Wong Tai Sin	H15	Chuk Yuen North	2	18	20
147	Central & Western	A01	Chung Wan	0	20	20
147	Central & Western	A06	Kennedy Town & Mount Davis	3	17	20
147	Sha Tin	R13	Chui Tin	2	18	20

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
147	Central & Western	A02	Mid Levels East	1	19	20
161	Yuen Long	M05	Shap Pat Heung Central	3	16	19
161	Tai Po	P07	Fu Ming Sun	2	17	19
161	Tai Po	P02	Chung Ting	3	16	19
161	Kwai Tsing	S31	Cheung On	1	18	19
161	Sha Tin	R36	On Tai	2	17	19
161	Kwun Tong	J02	Kowloon Bay	0	19	19
161	Sha Tin	R31	Fu Lung	2	17	19
161	Eastern	C07	A Kung Ngam	0	19	19
161	Tsuen Wan	K07	Tsuen Wan Centre	1	18	19
161	Kwun Tong	J36	Hong Lok	0	19	19
161	Central & Western	A10	Shek Tong Tsui	1	18	19
161	Central & Western	A12	Sheung Wan	2	17	19
161	Eastern	C33	Tsui Tak	1	18	19
161	Kwun Tong	J18	Hing Tin	3	16	19
161	Kwai Tsing	S26	Cheung Hong	3	16	19
161	Kwai Tsing	S25	Cheung Ching	0	19	19
177	Kwai Tsing	S21	On Ho	2	16	18
177	Yau Tsim Mong	E07	Fu Pak	3	15	18
177	Kwai Tsing	S22	Wai Ying	3	15	18

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
177	Eastern	C22	Tanner	1	17	18
177	Central & Western	A07	Kwun Lung	2	16	18
177	Kwai Tsing	S16	Wah Lai	2	16	18
177	Tai Po	P06	Yee Fu	3	15	18
177	Southern	D13	Tin Wan	2	16	18
177	Wong Tai Sin	H02	Lung Ha	2	16	18
177	Tsuen Wan	K15	Allway	3	15	18
177	Wong Tai Sin	H03	Lung Sheung	1	17	18
177	Sha Tin	R30	Lee On	1	17	18
177	Sha Tin	R35	Tai Shui Hang	1	17	18
177	Southern	D17	Stanley & Shek O	0	18	18
177	Sha Tin	R16	Wan Shing	1	17	18
177	Yau Tsim Mong	E12	Tai Nan	4	14	18
193	Kwun Tong	J39	Lower Ngau Tau Kok Estate	2	15	17
193	Yuen Long	M12	Shap Pat Heung West	2	15	17
193	Wong Tai Sin	H04	Fung Wong	0	17	17
193	North	N06	Yan Shing	1	16	17
193	Central & Western	A08	Sai Wan	0	17	17
193	Tuen Mun	L11	San Hui	2	15	17
193	Sha Tin	R08	Pok Hong	5	12	17

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
193	Kowloon City	G23	Ka Wai	4	13	17
193	Kowloon City	G18	Hok Yuen Laguna Verde	1	16	17
193	Wong Tai Sin	H10	Lok Fu	1	16	17
193	Sham Shui Po	F08	Nam Cheong West	3	14	17
193	Tuen Mun	L26	Kin Sang	1	16	17
193	Tai Po	P04	Tai Yuen	2	15	17
193	Southern	D04	Lei Tung I	2	15	17
193	Tsuen Wan	K06	Clague Garden	1	16	17
208	Kowloon City	G25	Oi Chun	2	14	16
208	Wong Tai Sin	H08	Tung Tau	0	16	16
208	Tuen Mun	L20	Lok Tsui	0	16	16
208	Islands	T07	Discovery Bay	0	16	16
208	Eastern	C32	Lok Hong	1	15	16
208	Eastern	C28	Hing Tung	2	14	16
208	Wong Tai Sin	H13	Tsui Chuk & Pang Ching	2	14	16
208	Tuen Mun	L08	Shan King	1	15	16
208	Sha Tin	R14	Hin Ka	0	16	16
208	Islands	T06	Tung Chung North	2	14	16
208	Kwun Tong	J21	Pak Nga	0	16	16
208	Sham Shui Po	F06	Nam Cheong South	0	16	16

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
208	Kwai Tsing	S30	Ching Fat	1	15	16
208	Southern	D09	Wah Fu South	1	15	16
208	Wong Tai Sin	H09	Tung Mei	3	13	16
208	Southern	D11	Pokfulam	0	16	16
208	North	N04	Wah Do	1	15	16
208	Kwun Tong	J17	Kwong Tak	3	13	16
208	Kwai Tsing	S13	Shek Lei South	0	16	16
208	Kowloon City	G04	Ma Tau Kok	2	14	16
228	Wan Chai	B10	Happy Valley	0	15	15
228	Central & Western	A13	Tung Wah	2	13	15
228	Sha Tin	R21	Chung Tin	2	13	15
228	Sham Shui Po	F21	Lei Cheng Uk	1	14	15
228	Central & Western	A03	Castle Road	3	12	15
228	Kwun Tong	J12	Sau Mau Ping North	2	13	15
228	Tuen Mun	L29	Tuen Mun Rural	0	15	15
228	Southern	D08	Wah Kwai	2	13	15
228	Tuen Mun	L30	Fu Tai	1	14	15
228	Tuen Mun	L15	Yuet Wu	0	15	15
228	Yuen Long	M38	Pat Heung North	2	13	15
228	Kwai Tsing	S14	Kwai Fong	0	15	15

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
228	North	N17	Tin Ping East	0	15	15
228	Yuen Long	M30	Fu Yan	2	13	15
228	Kwai Tsing	S15	Hing Fong	0	15	15
228	Kowloon City	G11	Lung Shing	0	15	15
228	Sai Kung	Q14	Sheung Tak	3	12	15
228	North	N05	Wah Ming	0	15	15
246	Kwun Tong	J20	Ping Tin	1	13	14
246	Sai Kung	Q11	Po Yee	3	11	14
246	Kwun Tong	J01	Kwun Tong Central	3	11	14
246	Sham Shui Po	F14	Lai Chi Kok South	0	14	14
246	Tuen Mun	L22	San King	1	13	14
246	Sai Kung	Q01	Sai Kung Central	2	12	14
246	Tuen Mun	L21	Lung Mun	4	10	14
246	Sha Tin	R18	Tin Sum	2	12	14
246	Kowloon City	G15	Hoi Sham	1	13	14
246	Kwai Tsing	S28	Tsing Yi South	0	14	14
246	Islands	T10	Cheung Chau	0	14	14
246	North	N02	Fanling Town	3	11	14
246	Sha Tin	R34	Heng On	0	14	14
246	Wan Chai	B06	Tin Hau	3	11	14

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
246	Tai Po	P05	Fu Heng	0	14	14
246	Wan Chai	B13	Tai Fat Hau	0	14	14
246	Southern	D05	Lei Tung II	4	10	14
246	Kwun Tong	J29	Tsui Ping	2	12	14
246	Yau Tsim Mong	E03	Jordan West	0	14	14
265	Sham Shui Po	F01	Po Lai	1	12	13
265	Sai Kung	Q06	Choi Kin	4	9	13
265	Sha Tin	R17	Keng Hau	1	12	13
265	Sai Kung	Q23	Hau Tak	1	12	13
265	North	N03	Cheung Wah	3	10	13
265	Sham Shui Po	F18	Lai Chi Kok North	1	12	13
265	Kowloon City	G05	Lok Man	0	13	13
265	Kowloon City	G24	Oi Man	1	12	13
265	North	N13	Shek Wu Hui	1	12	13
265	Sha Tin	R19	Chui Ka	0	13	13
265	Yau Tsim Mong	E20	Tsim Sha Tsui Central	1	12	13
265	Yau Tsim Mong	E16	Yau Ma Tei North	0	13	13
265	Tuen Mun	L24	Tin King	3	10	13
265	Eastern	C09	Tsui Wan	1	12	13
265	Tai Po	P11	Wan Tau Tong	2	11	13

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
265	Tuen Mun	L13	Sam Shing	1	12	13
265	Tuen Mun	L18	Butterfly	0	13	13
265	Wan Chai	B02	Oi Kwan	0	13	13
265	Kwai Tsing	S01	Kwai Hing	1	12	13
265	Yau Tsim Mong	E02	Kowloon Station	2	11	13
265	Southern	D10	Wah Fu North	2	11	13
265	Sha Tin	R15	Lower Shing Mun	0	13	13
265	Yuen Long	M21	Tsz Yau	1	12	13
265	Wong Tai Sin	H01	Lung Tsui	1	12	13
289	Sai Kung	Q17	Tsui Lam	0	12	12
289	North	N18	Queen's Hill	1	11	12
289	Sham Shui Po	F22	Lung Ping & Sheung Pak Tin	3	9	12
289	Tsuen Wan	K02	Yeung Uk Road	0	12	12
289	Yuen Long	M17	Shing Yan	0	12	12
289	Tuen Mun	L06	Yau Oi North	0	12	12
289	Kwun Tong	J24	Yau Chui	1	11	12
289	Tuen Mun	L03	On Ting	1	11	12
289	Sha Tin	R03	Wo Che Estate	2	10	12
289	Eastern	C23	Healthy Village	0	12	12
289	Wan Chai	B09	Broadwood	1	11	12

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
289	Wong Tai Sin	H11	Wang Tau Hom	0	12	12
289	Kowloon City	G06	Sheung Lok	1	11	12
289	Wan Chai	B12	Southorn	0	12	12
289	Yuen Long	M16	Ping Shan Central	1	11	12
289	Sha Tin	R12	Sun Tin Wai	2	10	12
289	Tuen Mun	L10	Hing Tsak	0	12	12
289	Yuen Long	M34	Ping Shan North	2	10	12
289	Central & Western	A04	Peak	1	11	12
289	North	N15	Fung Tsui	2	10	12
289	Sham Shui Po	F10	Lai Kok	1	11	12
310	Kwai Tsing	S27	Shing Hong	3	8	11
310	Wan Chai	B07	Tai Hang	0	11	11
310	Sham Shui Po	F05	Nam Cheong East	1	10	11
310	Kwun Tong	J07	Jordan Valley	1	10	11
310	Kwai Tsing	S19	Lai King	0	11	11
310	Tsuen Wan	K01	Tak Wah	4	7	11
310	Central & Western	A14	Centre Street	1	10	11
310	North	N12	Choi Yuen	0	11	11
310	Kowloon City	G17	To Kwa Wan South	1	10	11
310	Wan Chai	B08	Jardine's Lookout	2	9	11

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
310	Kwun Tong	J05	Ping Shek	0	11	11
310	Sai Kung	Q22	King Lam	2	9	11
310	Eastern	C29	Lower Yiu Tung	1	10	11
310	Eastern	C11	Siu Sai Wan	3	8	11
310	Yau Tsim Mong	E09	Cherry	0	11	11
310	Eastern	C15	Mount Parker	2	9	11
310	Eastern	C34	Yue Wan	1	10	11
310	Kwai Tsing	S06	Kwai Chung Estate South	4	7	11
310	Kwun Tong	J03	Kai Yip	1	10	11
310	Yuen Long	M02	Yuen Long Centre	0	11	11
310	Yuen Long	M24	Shui Wah	0	11	11
310	Eastern	C31	Hing Man	2	9	11
310	Wong Tai Sin	H23	Choi Wan West	2	9	11
310	Wan Chai	B03	Canal Road	2	9	11
310	Kwun Tong	J11	Kwun Tong On Tai	1	10	11
310	Wong Tai Sin	H16	Tsz Wan West	1	10	11
310	Sham Shui Po	F19	Un Chau	2	9	11
310	North	N11	Sheung Shui Rural	0	11	11
310	Wong Tai Sin	H25	Choi Hung	3	8	11
339	North	N08	Shing Fuk	2	8	10

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
339	Sham Shui Po	F12	Pik Wui	1	9	10
339	Yuen Long	M18	Tin Shing	4	6	10
339	Kwun Tong	J09	Sheung Shun	0	10	10
339	Tuen Mun	L05	Yau Oi South	1	9	10
339	Yuen Long	M19	Tin Yiu	1	9	10
339	Kwai Tsing	S08	Shek Yam	0	10	10
339	Kwai Tsing	S03	Kwai Shing East Estate	2	8	10
339	Sham Shui Po	F09	Fu Cheong	1	9	10
339	Kwun Tong	J25	Yau Lai	2	8	10
339	Kwun Tong	J31	Po Lok	2	8	10
339	Tuen Mun	L23	Leung King	0	10	10
339	Sham Shui Po	F04	Shek Kip Mei	1	9	10
339	Tsuen Wan	K19	Lei Muk Shue East	0	10	10
339	Tai Po	P08	Kwong Fuk & Plover Cove	3	7	10
339	Kwai Tsing	S09	Tai Pak Tin West	1	9	10
339	Yuen Long	M07	Nam Ping	0	10	10
339	Wan Chai	B01	Hennessy	0	10	10
357	Yuen Long	M29	Ching King	0	9	9
357	Sham Shui Po	F23	Ha Pak Tin	2	7	9
357	Yau Tsim Mong	E13	Mong Kok North	0	9	9

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
357	Wan Chai	B11	Stubbs Road	2	7	9
357	Wong Tai Sin	H18	Ching On	0	9	9
357	Sha Tin	R10	Jat Chuen	0	9	9
357	Islands	T09	Lamma & Po Toi	0	9	9
357	Kwai Tsing	S20	Kwai Shing West Estate	1	8	9
357	Kowloon City	G16	To Kwa Wan North	2	7	9
357	Kwai Tsing	S04	Upper Tai Wo Hau	0	9	9
357	Sham Shui Po	F11	Fortune	1	8	9
357	Tai Po	P01	Tai Po Hui	1	8	9
357	Yuen Long	M32	Tin Heng	1	8	9
357	Tuen Mun	L12	So Kwun Wat	1	8	9
357	Eastern	C14	Fei Tsui	0	9	9
372	Yuen Long	M01	Fung Nin	1	7	8
372	Islands	T01	Lantau	1	7	8
372	Kwai Tsing	S05	Lower Tai Wo Hau	0	8	8
372	North	N10	Yu Tai	1	7	8
372	Yuen Long	M15	Ha Tsuen	1	7	8
372	Eastern	C30	Upper Yiu Tung	1	7	8
372	North	N14	Tin Ping West	0	8	8
372	Eastern	C13	Wan Tsui	2	6	8

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
372	Yuen Long	M08	Pek Long	0	8	8
372	Yau Tsim Mong	E06	Mong Kok West	2	6	8
372	Sha Tin	R02	Lek Yuen	0	8	8
372	Sha Tin	R07	Sha Kok	0	8	8
372	Kowloon City	G22	Hung Hom	0	8	8
372	Wong Tai Sin	H22	Choi Wan South	1	7	8
372	Tsuen Wan	K16	Cheung Shek	0	8	8
372	Southern	D02	Ap Lei Chau Estate	2	6	8
372	Kwun Tong	J13	Sau Mau Ping Central	1	7	8
372	Sha Tin	R40	Kwong Hong	2	6	8
372	Sha Tin	R41	Kwong Yuen	1	7	8
372	Kwun Tong	J10	On Lee	0	8	8
372	Yuen Long	M10	Shap Pat Heung North	1	7	8
372	Yau Tsim Mong	E19	Jordan South	3	5	8
372	Yuen Long	M36	San Tin	1	7	8
395	Sham Shui Po	F25	Nam Shan, Tai Hang Tung & Tai Hang Sai	0	7	7
395	Yau Tsim Mong	E15	Mong Kok South	0	7	7
395	Yuen Long	M20	Yiu Yau	0	7	7
395	Kwai Tsing	S02	Kwai Luen	0	7	7
395	Southern	D14	Shek Yue	1	6	7

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
395	Yau Tsim Mong	E10	Tai Kok Tsui South	0	7	7
395	Kwun Tong	J08	Shun Tin	0	7	7
395	Kwun Tong	J15	Sau Mau Ping South	0	7	7
395	Kowloon City	G14	Kai Tak Central & South	0	7	7
395	Kwun Tong	J33	Hip Hong	0	7	7
395	Kwun Tong	J06	Choi Tak	2	5	7
395	Yuen Long	M26	Chung Pak	1	6	7
395	Yuen Long	M25	Chung Wah	0	7	7
395	Kwun Tong	J37	Ting On	0	7	7
395	Kowloon City	G12	Kai Tak North	0	7	7
395	Islands	T02	Mun Yat	0	7	7
395	Sha Tin	R09	Shui Chuen O	0	7	7
412	Sham Shui Po	F03	Nam Cheong North	2	4	6
412	Kwai Tsing	S07	Kwai Chung Estate North	2	4	6
412	Kwun Tong	J22	Chun Cheung	1	5	6
412	Kwai Tsing	S29	Cheung Hang	0	6	6
412	Kwai Tsing	S10	Tai Pak Tin East	1	5	6
412	North	N16	Sha Ta	0	6	6
412	Wong Tai Sin	H21	Choi Wan East	0	6	6
412	Tsuen Wan	K18	Lei Muk Shue West	0	6	6

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
412	Kwai Tsing	S12	Shek Lei North	0	6	6
412	Kwun Tong	J16	Po Tat	1	5	6
412	Sham Shui Po	F20	So Uk	0	6	6
412	Yuen Long	M28	Yuet Yan	0	6	6
412	Kwun Tong	J35	Lok Wah North	1	5	6
412	Kwun Tong	J34	Lok Wah South	1	5	6
412	Yuen Long	M31	Yat Chak	1	5	6
412	Tsuen Wan	K09	Fuk Loi	1	5	6
412	Tuen Mun	L09	King Hing	1	5	6
412	North	N09	Ching Ho	0	6	6
412	Tsuen Wan	K17	Shek Wai Kok	0	6	6
431	Islands	T03	Yat Tung Estate North	0	5	5
431	Yuen Long	M23	Shui Oi	0	5	5
431	Kwai Tsing	S11	On Yam	1	4	5
431	Eastern	C35	Kai Hiu	0	5	5
431	Wong Tai Sin	H14	Chuk Yuen South	0	5	5
431	Yuen Long	M11	Shap Pat Heung East	1	4	5
431	Yuen Long	M33	Wang Yat	0	5	5
431	Islands	T08	Peng Chau & Hei Ling Chau	0	5	5
431	Sai Kung	Q07	Kin Ming	1	4	5

Rank	District Council	Code	Constituency	Hong Kong People Representative Panel	Hong Kong People Volunteer Panel	Total
431	Tuen Mun	L28	Yan Tin	1	4	5
431	Southern	D16	Bays Area	0	5	5
431	Kowloon City	G13	Kai Tak East	3	2	5
431	Yau Tsim Mong	E01	Tsim Sha Tsui West	1	4	5
444	Kwun Tong	J26	Yau Tong West	0	4	4
444	Kwun Tong	J38	Upper Ngau Tau Kok Estate	0	4	4
444	Kwun Tong	J23	Yau Tong East	0	4	4
444	Yuen Long	M14	Hung Fuk	0	4	4
444	Tuen Mun	L17	Wu King	0	4	4
444	Sham Shui Po	F07	Nam Cheong Central	1	3	4
450	Yau Tsim Mong	E18	Jordan North	0	3	3
450	Kwun Tong	J14	On Tat	0	3	3
452	Tuen Mun	L25	Po Tin	0	1	1
Total				736	7,163	7,899
<i>Refuse to answer</i>				22	180	202

Appendix 5: Survey questionnaire (5-7/5/2020)

HKPORI Pandemic Survey

Hong Kong Public Opinion Research Institute is politically neutral, we welcome different opinions. All personal data will be kept strictly confidential. You can fill in the questionnaire again at any time to express your latest views, and your last submission will be collated. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk .

***Required**

(Registered member) Panel ID: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled ID)

(Registered member) Invitation token: *

(Please do not alter the pre-filled token)

(New member) Please first register as a HKPOP Panel member at <https://www.pori.hk/hkpop-panel>

- Already registered as a member earlier (Please go to the survey platform by clicking on the designated hyperlink sent to you by email)
- Have just registered as a member
- Not yet registered as a member, and will register after completing the survey (Please note: Your response will be removed if you have not yet successfully registered as a member at the time of data analysis)

Eligibility Confirmation

(New member)

Email used / will be used in registration: *

Are you a Hong Kong resident aged 12 or above? *

- Yes
- No

Your District Council

If you have answered the following questions in this survey series since late January, you may choose to skip the relevant questions on this page. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for the analysis.

Your District Council:

- Central & Western District
- Wan Chai
- Eastern District
- Southern District
- Yau Tsim Mong
- Sham Shui Po
- Kowloon City
- Wong Tai Sin
- Kwun Tong
- Tsuen Wan
- Tuen Mun
- Yuen Long
- North District
- Tai Po
- Sai Kung
- Sha Tin
- Kwai Tsing
- Islands

District Council Constituency Area (XXX District)

If you have answered the following questions in this survey series since late January, you may choose to skip the relevant questions on this page. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for the analysis.

District Council Constituency Area:

- XX
- XX
- XX
-

Opinion Questions

What do you think is your chance of being infected with novel coronavirus pneumonia, also known as Wuhan pneumonia, in the coming one month?

(Please select the closest answer. If you have another answer, please fill in the last item.)

- Certainly not
- 10% chance
- 20% chance
- 30% chance
- 40% chance
- 50% chance
- 60% chance
- 70% chance
- 80% chance
- 90% chance
- 100% chance (Certainly will)
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Opinion Questions

What do you think is the appropriate timing to completely resume normal work under the pandemic?

(Please select the closest answer; If there is no suitable answer at all, please select "don't know / hard to say" and wait till later to fill in your opinions.)

- Should completely resume normal work unconditionally
- Number of recovered cases exceeds newly confirmed cases each day
- Number of newly confirmed cases each day falls to a single digit
- No more newly confirmed local case
- No more newly confirmed local or imported case
- No more newly confirmed case in N consecutive days (Please input N in the next page)
- Don't know / hard to say

Opinion Questions

How many consecutive days with no more newly confirmed case do you think there should be before it is appropriate to completely resume normal work?

Opinion Questions**What do you think is the appropriate timing to completely lift the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places under the pandemic?**

(Please select the closest answer; If there is no suitable answer at all, please select “don’t know / hard to say” and wait till later to fill in your opinions.)

- Should completely lift the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places unconditionally
- Number of recovered cases exceeds newly confirmed cases each day
- Number of newly confirmed cases each day falls to a single digit
- No more newly confirmed local case
- No more newly confirmed local or imported case
- No more newly confirmed case in N consecutive days (Please input N in the next page)
- Don’t know / hard to say

Opinion Questions**How many consecutive days with no more newly confirmed case do you think there should be before it is appropriate to completely lift the regulation prohibiting gatherings of more than a specific number of people in public places?**

Opinion Questions**The government has just announced the following relaxed-regulations:**

1. No more than 8 persons can be seated together at one table within any catering premises.
2. The following 7 premises can be re-opened but need to follow specific arrangements: game centres, fitness centres, places of amusement including cinemas, beauty parlours, massage establishments and mahjong premises.
3. Bars and pubs can be re-opened but need to follow strict regulations.
4. The following 4 premises will remain closed: karaoke establishments, bathhouses, party rooms, clubs or nightclubs.
5. The maximum number of participants for gathering is relaxed from 4 to 8.

Overall speaking, how much do you support or oppose these measures?

- Very much support
- Somewhat support
- Half-half
- Somewhat oppose
- Very much oppose

- Don't know / hard to say

Do you think these measures come too early, just in time or too late?

- Too early
 Just in time
 Too late
 Don't know / hard to say

Do you think these measures are too strict, just right or too loose?

- Too strict
 Just right
 Too loose
 Don't know / hard to say

Do you have other comments on the government's implementation of these measures?

(If you do not have other comments, please leave this space blank.)

Opinion Questions

How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the government's performance in handling novel coronavirus pneumonia?

- Very much satisfied
 Somewhat satisfied
 Half-half
 Somewhat dissatisfied
 Very much dissatisfied
 Don't know / hard to say

Please rate on a scale of 0 to 100 your extent of support to the Chief Executive Carrie Lam, with 0 indicating absolutely not supportive, 100 indicating absolutely supportive and 50 indicating half-half. How would you rate the Chief Executive Carrie Lam? [For weighting purpose only]

(To opt for "don't know / hard to say", please input 8888)

Other Opinions

Please provide your suggestions on the environmental hygiene and public health of your estate or community, so that we can relay them to relevant District Councillors or authorities:

(If you do not have any suggestion, or do not want to revise your previous suggestion, please leave this space blank. Comments submitted before May 5 would not be counted in this round of survey, while for repeated submissions after May 5, only the last non-empty submission will be included in the final results.)

Do you have any needs which you want us to forward to your District Councillor or other persons you name here? (Please write down your contacts)

(The request you make here is not part of our study, we simply want to bridge people who need help with people who can help.)

Personal Information

If you have answered the following questions in this survey series since late January, you may choose to skip the relevant questions on this page. We will use the past data you provided in this survey series for the analysis.

Gender

- Male
- Female

Age

Age range

(If prefer not to provide exact age)

- 12 - 14
- 15 - 17
- 18 - 19
- 20 - 24
- 25 - 29
- 30 - 34
- 35 - 39
- 40 - 44
- 45 - 49
- 50 - 54
- 55 - 59
- 60 - 64
- 65 - 69
- 70 or above

Educational attainment

(The highest level attended, regardless of whether you have completed the course, including what you are attending)

- No formal schooling / kindergarten
- Primary
- Lower secondary (Secondary 1 to 3)
- Upper secondary (Secondary 4 to 7 / DSE / Yi Jin)
- Tertiary: non-degree course (including diploma / certificate / sub-degree course)
- Tertiary: bachelor degree course
- Tertiary: postgraduate school or above

Occupation

(Owner / self-employed / freelance / part time / civil servant are not valid answers, please answer according to the job nature or content)

- Administrator and professional
- Clerical and service worker
- Production worker
- Student
- Home-maker / housewife
- Retired person
- Unemployed / between jobs / other non-employed
- Other: _____

What is your usual spoken language?

- Cantonese
- Putonghua
- Other Chinese dialect
- English
- Other: _____

What is your ethnicity?

- Chinese
- Filipino
- Indonesian
- White
- Indian
- Nepalese
- Pakistani
- Thai
- Japanese
- Other Asian
- Other: _____

Which of the following best describes your political inclination?

- Localist
- Pro-democracy camp
- Centrist
- Pro-establishment camp
- No political inclination / politically neutral / do not belong to any camp
- Don't know / hard to say
- Other: _____

Which candidate did you vote for in the 2019 District Council Election?

- Candidate of pro-democracy camp, including localist
- Candidate of non-pro-democracy camp, including pro-establishment camp and centrist
- Don't know / hard to say
- Blank / void vote
- Did not vote (was a registered voter of the election)
- Did not vote (was not a registered voter of the election)

End of Questionnaire

Thank you for completing the survey. For enquiries, please email us at panel@pori.hk or call us at 3844 3111 during office hours.

We are working with a social enterprise to match the supply and demand of civil resources. Do you have masks to donate/ lend out for four weeks? If yes, please go to the platform of the Civil Resources Matching Program at <https://arcg.is/10WT1S> to fill in relevant information, thank you!