

# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**Latest Tracking Poll Results**

**Press Release**

**September 22, 2020**

# Contact Information

2

	<b>Naming stage</b>	<b>Rating stage</b>
Date of survey	31/8-1/9/2020	2-4/9/2020
Survey method	Random telephone survey conducted by real interviewers	
Target population	Cantonese-speaking Hong Kong residents aged 18 or above	
Sample size	508 (including 255 landline and 253 mobile samples)	500 (including 244 landline and 256 mobile samples)
Effective response rate	61.4%	55.8%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages not more than +/-4% and that of ratings not more than +/-3.3 at 95% conf. level	
Weighting method	Rim-weighted according to figures provided by the Census and Statistics Department. The gender-age distribution of the Hong Kong population came from “Mid-year population for 2019”, while the educational attainment (highest level attended) distribution and economic activity status distribution came from “Women and Men in Hong Kong - Key Statistics (2019 Edition)”.	

# Survey Topic

3

- **Popularity of Executive Councillors**
  - Top 5 non-official Executive Councillors - Naming
  - Top 5 non-official Executive Councillors - Rating

# Survey result - Popularity of Executive Councillors

4

## • Top 5 non-official Executive Councillors – Naming

Rank	Executive Councillors	17-18/3/2020	31/8-1/9/2020	Change	Change in ranking
1	Regina Ip	32%	34%	▲2%	--
2	Bernard Chan	16%	15%	▼1%	--
3	Ronny Tong	12%	14%	▲2%	--
4	Tommy Cheung	4%	7%	▲3% *	▲1
5	Ip Kwok-him	3%	5%	▲1%	▲1
6	Lam Ching-choi	11%	4%	▼7% *	▼2


- The naming survey showed that Regina Ip, Bernard Chan and Ronny Tong were named most frequently. However, 11% made a wrong attempt at citing non-official Executive Councillors while 55% had no clue.

\*Significant change

# Survey result - Popularity of Executive Councillors

5

各行政會議成員提名 - 綜合圖表 (按次計算)  
Naming rates of Executive Councillors - Combined (per poll)



# Survey result - Popularity of Executive Councillors

6

## • Top 5 non-official Executive Councillors – Rating


	19-20/3/2020	2-4/9/2020	Change	Record
Regina Ip	29.5	<b>31.0</b>	<b>▲1.5</b>	Record <b>high</b> since Apr. 2019
Bernard Chan	31.1	<b>29.5</b>	<b>▼1.6</b>	All-time record <b>low</b> since Jan. 2005
Ronny Tong	24.2	<b>26.8</b>	<b>▲2.6</b>	Record <b>high</b> since Apr. 2019
Ip Kwok-him	24.6	<b>26.7</b>	<b>▲2.1</b>	Record <b>high</b> since Apr. 2019
Tommy Cheung	24.7	<b>26.6</b>	<b>▲1.9</b>	Record <b>high</b> since Sept. 2019
Lam Ching-choi	28.8	<b>30.1</b>	<b>▲1.3</b>	All-time record high since Mar. 2020

- The mean score obtained by these top 5 non-official Executive Councillors was 28.1 marks. In this latest survey, Lam Ching-choi obtained a support rating of 30.1 marks, but he was dropped due to his relatively low recognition rate. The rating of Bernard Chan has registered an all-time **record low** since 2005.


# Survey result - Popularity of Executive Councillors

7

各行政會議成員評分 - 綜合圖表 (按次計算)  
Ratings of Executive Councillors – Combined (per poll)



# POP



---

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION PROGRAM

HONG KONG PUBLIC OPINION RESEARCH INSTITUTE

香港民意研究所 之 香港民意研究計劃

---

**Community Democracy Project -**

**Community Health Module**

**Press Release**

**September 22, 2020**



# Contact Information - PENRI

9

	<b>HKPOP Panel</b>
Date of survey	September 7, 3pm – September 21, 3pm
Survey method	Online survey
Target population	Hong Kong residents aged 12+
Total sample size	13,350
Response rate	12.8%
Sampling error	Sampling error of percentages at +/-1% at 95% confidence level
Weighting method	The figures are rim-weighted according to 1) gender-age distribution of Hong Kong population and by District Councils population figures from Census and Statistics Department; 2) Voting results of District Councils Election from Registration and Electoral Office; 3) rating distribution of Chief Executive from regular tracking surveys.

# Survey Result - PENRI

10

<b>Date of Publication</b>	<b>6/9</b>	<b>7/9</b>	<b>8/9</b>	<b>9/9</b>	<b>10/9</b>	<b>11/9</b>	<b>12/9</b>	<b>13/9</b>	<b>14/9</b>	<b>15/9</b>	<b>16/9</b>	<b>17/9</b>	<b>18/9</b>	<b>19/9</b>	<b>20/9</b>	<b>21/9</b>	<b>22/9</b>
<b>Imported Cases(Ytd)</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Local Infection Cases(Ytd)</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>
Post-Epidemic Work Resumption Index (PEWRI)	41.3	6.9	10.5	43.9	43.6	15.1	15.1	15.1	11.9	11.9	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Post-Epidemic Public-Facilities Re-open Index (PEPRI)	41.3	11.3	15.1	43.1	42.3	16.9	17.0	16.8	13.2	13.2	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Post-Epidemic Gathering Resumption Index (PEGRI)	42.8	16.7	20.0	45.1	43.1	21.7	21.8	21.5	18.6	18.5	57.9	42.0	42.7	42.9	17.8	17.8	38.7
Post-Epidemic Business Resumption PEBRI(catering)	45.9	10.8	14.3	48.4	46.6	18.0	18.0	17.9	13.6	13.5	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

# Survey Result - PENRI

11

